

Antenna and Transmission-Line Measurements

The principal quantities measured on transmission lines are line current or voltage, and standing-wave ratio (SWR). You make measurements of current or voltage to determine the power input to the line. SWR measurements are useful in connection with the design of coupling circuits and the adjustment of the match between the antenna and transmission line, as well as in the adjustment of these matching circuits.

For most practical purposes a relative measurement is sufficient. An uncalibrated indicator that shows when the largest possible amount of power is being put into the line is just as useful, in most cases, as an instrument that measures the power accurately. It is seldom necessary to know the actual number of watts going into the line unless the overall efficiency of the system is being investigated. An instrument that shows when the SWR is close to 1:1 is all you need for most impedance-matching adjustments. Accurate measurement of SWR is necessary only in studies of antenna characteristics such as bandwidth, or for the design of some types of matching systems, such as a stub match.

Quantitative measurements of reasonable accuracy demand good design and careful construction in the measuring instruments. They also require intelligent use of the equipment, including a knowledge not only of its limitations but also of stray effects that often lead to false results. Until you know the complete conditions of the measurements, a certain amount of skepticism regarding numerical data resulting from amateur measurements with simple equipment is justified. On the other hand, purely qualitative or relative measurements are easy to make and are reliable for the purposes mentioned above.

LINE CURRENT AND VOLTAGE

A current or voltage indicator that can be used with coaxial line is a useful piece of equipment. It need not be elaborate or expensive. Its principal function is to show when the maximum power is being taken from the trans-

mitter; for any given set of line conditions (length, SWR, etc). This will occur when you adjust the transmitter coupling for maximum current or voltage into the transmission line. Although the final-amplifier plate or collector current meter is frequently used for this purpose, it is not always a reliable indicator. In many cases, particularly with a screen-grid tube in the final stage, minimum loaded plate current does not occur simultaneously with maximum power output.

RF VOLTMETER

You can put together a germanium diode in conjunc-




Fig 1—RF voltmeter for coaxial line.

C1, C2—0.005- or 0.01- μ F ceramic.

D1—Germanium diode, 1N34A.

J1, J2—Coaxial fittings, chassis-mounting type.

M1—0-1 milliammeter (more sensitive meter may be used if desired; see text).

R1—6.8 k Ω , composition, 1 W for each 100 W of RF power.

R2—680 Ω , $\frac{1}{2}$ or 1 W composition.

R3—10 k Ω , $\frac{1}{2}$ W (see text).

tion with a low-range milliammeter and a few resistors to form an RF voltmeter suitable for connecting across the two conductors of a coaxial line, as shown in **Fig 1**. It consists of a voltage divider, R1-R2, having a total resistance about 100 times the Z_0 of the line (so the power consumed will be negligible) with a diode rectifier and milliammeter connected across part of the divider to read relative RF voltage. The purpose of R3 is to make the meter readings directly proportional to the applied voltage, as nearly as possible, by swamping the resistance of D1, since the diode resistance will vary with the amplitude of the current through the diode.

You may construct the voltmeter in a small metal box, indicated by the dashed line in the drawing, and fitted with coax receptacles. R1 and R2 should be carbon-composition resistors. The power rating for R1 should be 1 W for each 100 W of carrier power in the matched line; separate 1- or 2-W resistors should be used to make up the total power rating required, to the total resistance as given. Any type of resistor can be used for R3; the total resistance should be such that about 10 V dc will be developed across it at full scale. For example, a 0-1 milliammeter would require 10 k Ω , a 0-500 microammeter would take 20 k Ω , and so on. For comparative measurements only, R3 may be a variable resistor so the sensitivity can be adjusted for various power levels.

In constructing such a voltmeter, you should exercise care to prevent inductive coupling between R1 and the loop formed by R2, D1 and C1, and between the same loop and the line conductors in the assembly. With the lower end of R1 disconnected from R2 and grounded to the enclosure, but without changing its position with respect to the loop, there should be no meter indication when full power is going through the line.

If more than one resistor is used for R1, the units should be arranged end-to-end with very short leads. R1 and R2 should be kept $\frac{1}{2}$ inch or more from metal surfaces parallel to the body of the resistor. If you observe these precautions the voltmeter will give consistent readings at frequencies up to 30 MHz. Stray capacitance and stray coupling limit the accuracy at higher frequencies but do not affect the utility of the instrument for comparative measurements.

Calibration

You may calibrate the meter for RF voltage by comparison with a standard such as an RF ammeter. This requires that the line be well matched so the impedance at the point of measurement is equal to the actual Z_0 of the line. Since in that case $P = I^2 Z_0$, the power can be calculated from the current. Then $E = \sqrt{PZ_0}$. By making current and voltage measurements at a number of different power levels, you can obtain enough points to draw a calibration curve for your particular setup.




Fig 2—A convenient method of mounting an RF ammeter for use in a coaxial line. This is a metal-case instrument mounted on a thin bakelite panel. The cutout in the metal clears the edge of the meter by about $\frac{1}{8}$ inch.

RF AMMETERS

Although they are not as widely available as they used to be, if you can find one on the surplus market or at a hamfest, an RF ammeter is a good way to gauge output power. You can mount an RF ammeter in any convenient location at the input end of the transmission line, the principal precaution being that the capacitance to ground, chassis, and nearby conductors should be low. A bakelite-case instrument can be mounted on a metal panel without introducing enough shunt capacitance to ground to cause serious error up to 30 MHz. When installing a metal-case instrument on a metal panel, you should mount it on a separate sheet of insulating material so that there is $\frac{1}{8}$ inch or more separation between the edge of the case and the metal.

A 2-inch instrument can be mounted in a $2 \times 4 \times 4$ -inch metal box, as shown in **Fig 2**. This is a convenient arrangement for use with coaxial line. Installed this way, a good quality RF ammeter will measure current with an accuracy that is entirely adequate for calculating power in the line. As discussed above in connection with calibrating RF voltmeters, the line must be closely matched by its load so the actual impedance is resistive and equal to Z_0 . The scales of such instruments are cramped at the low end, however, which limits the range of power that can be measured by a single meter. The useful current range is about 3 to 1, corresponding to a power range of about 9 to 1.

SWR Measurements

On parallel-conductor lines it is possible to measure the standing-wave ratio by moving a current (or voltage) indicator along the line, noting the maximum and minimum values of current (or voltage) and then computing the SWR from these measured values. This cannot be done with coaxial line since it is not possible to make measurements of this type inside the cable. The technique is, in fact, seldom used with open lines because it is not only inconvenient but sometimes impossible to reach all parts of the line conductors. Also, the method is subject to considerable error from antenna currents flowing on the line.

Present-day SWR measurements made by amateurs practically always use some form of *directional coupler* or RF-bridge circuit. The indicator circuits themselves are fundamentally simple, but they require considerable care in construction to ensure accurate measurements. The requirements for indicators used only for the adjustment of impedance-matching circuits, rather than actual SWR measurement, are not so stringent, and you can easily make an instrument for this purpose.

BRIDGE CIRCUITS

Two commonly used bridge circuits are shown in **Fig 3**. The bridges consist essentially of two voltage dividers in parallel, with a voltmeter connected between the junctions of each pair of *arms*, as the individual elements are called. When the equations shown to the right of each circuit are satisfied there is no potential difference between the two junctions, and the voltmeter indicates zero voltage. The bridge is then said to be in *balance*.

Taking Fig 3A as an illustration, if $R_1 = R_2$, half the applied voltage, E , will appear across each resistor. Then if $R_S = R_X$, $1/2E$ will appear across each of these resistors and the voltmeter reading will be zero. Remember that a matched transmission line has essentially a purely resistive input impedance. Suppose that the input terminals of such a line are substituted for R_X . Then if R_S is a resistor equal to the Z_0 of the line, the bridge will be balanced.

If the line is not perfectly matched, its input impedance will not equal Z_0 and hence will not equal R_S , since you chose the latter to be equal to Z_0 . There will then be a difference in potential between points X and Y, and the voltmeter will show a reading. Such a bridge therefore can be used to show the presence of standing waves on the line, because the line input impedance will be equal to Z_0 only when there are no standing waves.

Considering the nature of the incident and reflected components of voltage that make up the actual voltage at the input terminals of the line, as discussed in Chapter 24, it should be clear that when $R_S = Z_0$, the bridge is always in balance for the incident component. Thus the voltmeter does not respond to the incident component at any time but reads only the reflected component (assuming that R_2 is very small compared with the voltmeter




Fig 3—Bridge circuits suitable for SWR measurement. At A, Wheatstone type using resistance arms. At B, capacitance-resistance bridge ("Micromatch"). Conditions for balance are independent of frequency in both types.

impedance). The incident component can be measured across either R_1 or R_2 , if they are equal resistances. The standing-wave ratio is then

$$SWR = \frac{E_1 + E_2}{E_1 - E_2} \quad (\text{Eq 1})$$

where E_1 is the incident voltage and E_2 is the reflected voltage. It is often simpler to normalize the voltages by expressing E_2 as a fraction of E_1 , in which case the formula becomes


$$SWR = \frac{1+k}{1-k} \quad (\text{Eq 2})$$

where $k = E_2/E_1$.

The operation of the circuit in Fig 3B is essentially the same, although this circuit has arms containing reactance as well as resistance.


It is not necessary that $R_1 = R_2$ in Fig 3A; the bridge can be balanced, in theory, with any ratio of these two resistances provided R_S is changed accordingly. In practice, however, the accuracy is highest when the two are equal; this circuit is most commonly used.

A number of types of bridge circuits appear in **Fig 4**, many of which have been used in amateur products or amateur construction projects. All except that at G can have the generator and load at a common potential. At G, the generator and detector are at a common potential. You may interchange the positions of the detector and trans-




De Sauty/Wein (Micromatch)
(A)

$$\text{Balance } Z = \frac{RC1}{C2}$$



Christie/Wheatstone (Antenna-Scope)
(B)


$$\text{Balance } Z = \frac{R2 R3}{R1}$$



Maxwell (Universal)
(C)

$$\text{Balance } R1 Z = R2 R3 = L/C$$

$$\text{No Discontinuity: } R2 \rightarrow \infty, R3 \rightarrow 0, R1 = Z$$




Carey-Foster
(Twin-Lamp, Monomatch Mickey-Match)
(D)

$$\text{Balance } M = CR2 Z$$

$$L = M(1 + R1/R2)$$

$$\text{No Discontinuity: } R1 + R2 = Z = \sqrt{L/C}$$




Bruene (Collins Radio)
(E)

$$\text{Balance (Approx.) } Z C1 L_S = M R (C1 + C2)$$

$$(2\pi f L_S \gg R)$$

$$(L_P = M \text{ Approx})$$


$$\text{Max. 1\% Error: } 2\pi f L_S \geq 7R$$



Phase-Compensated
(F)

$$\text{Balance: } Z R1 C1 = M = L_P$$

$$L_S = R1 R2 (C1 + C2)$$




(G)

Starr's "Hybrid Coil"

$$\text{Balance: } R = Z$$

(The Differential Capacitor Can Balance Parallel Reactance.)



(H)

Fig 4—Various types of SWR indicator circuits and commonly known names of bridge circuits or devices in that they have been used. Detectors (D) are usually semiconductor diodes with meters, isolated with RF chokes and capacitors. However, the detector may be a radio receiver. In each circuit, Z represents the load being measured. (This information provided by David Geiser, WA2ANU)

mitter (or generator) in the bridge, and this may be advantageous in some applications.

The bridges shown at D, E, F and H may have one terminal of the generator, detector and load common. Bridges at A, B, E, F, G and H have constant sensitivity over a wide frequency range. Bridges at B, C, D and H may be designed to show no discontinuity (impedance

lump) with a matched line, as shown in the drawing. Discontinuities with A, E and F may be small.

Bridges are usually most sensitive when the detector bridges the midpoint of the generator voltage, as in G or H, or in B when each resistor equals the load impedance. Sensitivity also increases when the currents in each leg are equal.

Resistance Bridge

The basic bridge configuration shown in Fig 3B may be home constructed and is reasonably accurate for SWR measurement. A practical circuit for such a bridge is given in Fig 5 and a representative layout is shown in Fig 6. Properly built, a bridge of this design can be used for measurement of SWRs up to about 15:1 with good accuracy.

You should observe these important construction points:

- 1) Keep leads in the RF circuit short, to reduce stray inductance.
- 2) Mount resistors two or three times their body diameter away from metal parts, to reduce stray capacitance.
- 3) Place the RF components so there is as little inductive and capacitive coupling as possible between the bridge arms.




Fig 5—Resistance bridge for SWR measurement. Capacitors are disc ceramic. Resistors are $\frac{1}{2}$ -watt composition except as noted below.
D1, D2—Germanium diode, high back resistance type (1N34A, 1N270, etc).
J1, J2—Coaxial connectors, chassis-mounting type.
M1—0-100 dc microammeter.
R1, R2—47 Ω , $\frac{1}{2}$ -W composition (see text).
R3—See text.
R4—50-k Ω volume control.
 R_s —Resistance equal to line Z_0 ($\frac{1}{2}$ or 1 W composition).
S1—SPDT toggle.

In the instrument shown in Fig 6, the input and line connectors, J1 and J2, are mounted fairly close together so the standard resistor, R_s , can be supported with short leads directly between the center terminals of the connectors. R2 is mounted at right angles to R_s , and a shield partition is used between these two components and the others.

The two 47-k Ω resistors, R5 and R6 in Fig 5, are voltmeter multipliers for the 0-100 microammeter used as an indicator. This is sufficient resistance to make the voltmeter linear (that is, the meter reading is directly proportional to the RF voltage) and no voltage calibration curve is needed. D1 is the rectifier for the reflected volt-




Fig 6—A 2 x 4 x 4-inch aluminum box is used to house this SWR bridge, which uses the circuit of Fig 5. The variable resistor, R4, is mounted on the side. The bridge components are mounted on one side plate of the box and a subchassis formed from a piece of aluminum. The input connector is at the top in this view. R_s is connected directly between the two center posts of the connectors. R2 is visible behind it and perpendicular to it. One terminal of D1 projects through a hole in the chassis so the lead can be connected to J2. R1 is mounted vertically to the left of the chassis in this view, with D2 connected between the junction of R1-R2 and a tie point.

age and D2 is for the incident voltage. Because of manufacturing variations in resistors and diodes, the readings may differ slightly with two multipliers of the same nominal resistance value, so a correction resistor, R3, is included in the circuit. You should select its value so that the meter reading is the same with S1 in either position, when RF is applied to the bridge with the line connection open. In the instrument shown, a value of 1000 Ω was required in series with the multiplier for reflected voltage; in other cases different values probably would be needed and R3 might have to be put in series with the multiplier for the incident voltage. You can determine this by experiment.

The value used for R1 and R2 is not critical, but you should match the two resistors within 1% or 2% if possible. Keep the resistance of R_S as close as possible to the actual Z_0 of the line you use (generally 50 or 75 Ω). Select the resistor by actual measurement with an accurate resistance bridge, if you have one available.

R4 is for adjusting the incident-voltage reading to full scale in the measurement procedure described below. Its use is not essential, but it offers a convenient alternative to exact adjustment of the RF input voltage.

Testing

Measure R1, R2 and R_S with a reliable digital ohmmeter or resistance bridge after completing the wiring. This will ensure that their values have not changed from the heat of soldering. Disconnect one side of the microammeter and leave the input and output terminals of the unit open during such measurements to avoid stray shunt paths through the rectifiers.

Check the two voltmeter circuits as described above, applying enough RF (about 10 V) to the input terminals to give a full-scale reading with the line terminals open. If necessary, try different values for R3 until the reading is the same with S1 in either position.

With J2 open, adjust the RF input voltage and R4 for full-scale reading with S1 in the incident-voltage position. Then switch S1 to the reflected-voltage position. The reading should remain at full scale. Next, short-circuit J2 by touching a screwdriver between the center terminal and the frame of the connector to make a low-inductance short. Switch S1 to the incident-voltage position and readjust R4 for full scale, if necessary. Then throw S1 to the reflected-voltage position, keeping J2 shorted, and the reading should be full scale as before. If the readings differ, R1 and R2 are not the same value, or there is stray coupling between the arms of the bridge. You must read the reflected voltage at full scale with J2 either open or shorted, when the incident voltage is set to full scale in each case, to make accurate SWR measurements.

The circuit should pass these tests at all frequencies at which it is to be used. It is sufficient to test at the lowest and highest frequencies, usually 1.8 or 3.5 and 28 or 50 MHz. If R1 and R2 are poorly matched but the bridge

construction is otherwise good, discrepancies in the readings will be substantially the same at all frequencies. A difference in behavior at the low and high ends of the frequency range can be attributed to stray coupling between bridge arms, or stray inductance or capacitance in the arms.

To check the bridge for balance, apply RF and adjust R4 for full scale with J2 open. Then connect a resistor identical with R_S (the resistance should match within 1% or 2%) to the line terminals, using the shortest possible leads. It is convenient to mount the test resistor inside a cable connector (PL-259), a method of mounting that also minimizes lead inductance. When you connect the test resistor the reflected-voltage reading should drop to zero. The incident voltage should be reset to full scale by means of R4, if necessary. The reflected reading should be zero at any frequency in the range to be used. If a good null is obtained at low frequencies but some residual current shows at the high end, the trouble may be the inductance of the test resistor leads, although it may also be caused by stray coupling between the arms of the bridge itself.

If there is a constant low (but not zero) reading at all frequencies the problem is poor matching of the resistance values. Both effects can be present simultaneously. You should make sure you obtain a good null at all frequencies before using your bridge.

Bridge Operation

You must limit the RF power input to a bridge of this type to a few watts at most, because of the power-dissipation ratings of the resistors. If the transmitter has no provision for reducing power output to a very low value—less than 5 W—a simple power-absorber circuit can be made up, as shown in Fig 7. Lamp DS1 tends to maintain constant current through the resistor over a fairly




Fig 7—Power-absorber circuit for use with resistance-type SWR bridges when the transmitter has no special provisions for power reduction. For RF powers up to 50 W, DS1 is a 117-V 40-W incandescent lamp and DS2 is not used. For higher powers, use sufficient additional lamp capacity at DS2 to load the transmitter to about normal output; for example, for 250 W output DS2 may consist of two 100-W lamps in parallel. R1 is made from three 1-W 68- Ω resistors connected in parallel. P1 and P2 are cable-mounting coaxial connectors. Leads in the circuit formed by the lamps and R1 should be kept short, but convenient lengths of cable may be used between this assembly and the connectors.

wide power range, so the voltage drop across the resistor also tends to be constant. This voltage is applied to the bridge, and with the constants given is in the right range for resistance-type bridges.

To make a measurement, connect the unknown load to J2 and apply sufficient RF voltage to J1 to give a full-scale incident-voltage reading. Use R4 to set the indicator to exactly full scale. Then throw S1 to the reflected voltage position and note the meter reading. The SWR is then found by using these readings in Eq 1.

For example, if the full-scale calibration of the dc instrument is 100 μ A and the reading with S2 in the reflected-voltage position is 40 μ A, the SWR is

$$\text{SWR} = \frac{100+40}{100-40} = \frac{140}{60} = 2.33:1$$

Instead of calculating the SWR value, you could use the voltage curve in Fig 8. In this example the ratio of reflected to forward voltage is 40/100 = 0.4, and from Fig 8 the SWR value is about 2.3:1.

You may calibrate the meter scale in any arbitrary units, so long as the scale has equal divisions. It is the ratios of the voltages, and not the actual values, that determine the SWR.




Fig 8—Chart for finding voltage standing-wave ratio when the ratio of reflected-to-forward voltage or reflected-to-forward power is known.

AVOIDING ERRORS IN SWR MEASUREMENTS

The principal causes of inaccuracies within the bridge are differences in the resistances of R1 and R2, stray inductance and capacitance in the bridge arms, and stray coupling between arms. If the checkout procedure described above is followed carefully, the bridge in Fig 5 should be amply accurate for practical use. The accuracy is highest for low standing-wave ratios because of the nature of the SWR calculation; at high ratios the divisor in the equation above represents the difference between two nearly equal quantities, so a small error in voltage measurement may mean a considerable difference in the calculated SWR.

The standard resistor R_S must equal the actual Z₀ of the line. The actual Z₀ of a sample of line may differ by a few percent from the nominal figure because of manufacturing variations, but this has to be tolerated. In the 50- to 75- Ω range, the RF resistance of a composition resistor of 1/2- or 1-W rating is essentially identical with its dc resistance.

Common-Mode Currents

As explained in Chapter 26, there are two ways in which unwanted *common-mode* (sometimes called *antenna*) currents can flow on the outside of a coaxial line—currents radiated onto the line because of its spatial relationship to the antenna and currents that result from the direct connection between the coax outer conductor and (usually) one side of the antenna. The radiated current usually will not be troublesome if the bridge and the transmitter (or other source of RF power for operating the bridge) are shielded so that any RF currents flowing on the outside of the line cannot find their way into the bridge. This point can be checked by inserting an additional section of line (1/8 to 1/4 electrical wavelength preferably) of the same Z₀. The SWR indicated by the bridge should not change except for a slight decrease because of the additional line loss. If there is a marked change, you may need better shielding.

Parallel-type currents caused by the connection to the antenna without using a common-mode choke balun will change the SWR with variations in line length, even though the bridge and transmitter are well-shielded and the shielding is maintained throughout the system by the use of coaxial fittings. Often, merely moving the transmission line around will cause the indicated SWR to change. This is because the outside of the coax becomes part of the antenna system—being connected to the antenna at the feed point. The outside shield of the line thus constitutes a load, along with the desired load represented by the antenna itself. The SWR on the line then is determined by the composite load of the antenna and the outside of the coax. Since changing the line length (or position) changes one component of this composite load, the SWR changes too.

The remedy for such a situation is to use a good balun

or to detune the outside of the line by proper choice of length. Note that this is not a *measurement error*, since what the instrument reads is the actual SWR on the line. However, it is an undesirable condition since the line is usually operating at a higher SWR than it should—and would if the parallel-type current on the outside of the coax were eliminated.

Spurious Frequencies

Off-frequency components in the RF voltage applied to the bridge may cause considerable error. The principal components of this type are harmonics and low-frequency subharmonics that may be fed through the final stage of the transmitter driving the bridge. The antenna is almost always a fairly selective circuit, and even though the system may be operating with a very low SWR at the desired frequency, it is almost always mismatched at harmonic and subharmonic frequencies. If such spurious frequencies are applied to the bridge in appreciable amplitude, the SWR indication will be very much in error. In particular, it may not be possible to obtain a null on the bridge with any set of adjustments of the matching circuit. The only remedy

is to filter out the unwanted components by increasing the selectivity of the circuits between the transmitter final amplifier and the bridge.

MEASURING LINE LENGTH

The following material is taken from information in September 1985 *QST* by Charlie Michaels, W7XC (see Bibliography).

There is a popular myth that one may prepare an open quarter-wave line by connecting a loop of wire to one end and trimming the line to resonance (as indicated by a dip meter). This actually yields a line with capacitive reactance equal to the inductive reactance of the loop: a 4-inch wire loop yields a line 82.8° line at 18 MHz; a 2-inch loop yields an 86° line. As the loop size is reduced, line length approaches—but never equals— 90° .

To make a quarter-wave open line, parallel connect a coil and capacitor that resonate at the required frequency (see Fig 9A). After adjusting the network to resonance, do not make further network adjustments. Open the connection between the coil and capacitor and series connect the line to the pair. Start with a line somewhat longer than required, and trim it until the circuit again resonates at the desired frequency. For a shorted quarter-wave line or an open half-wave line, connect the line in parallel with the coil and capacitor (see Fig 9B).

Another method to accurately measure a coaxial transmission line length uses one of the popular “SWR analyzers,” portable hand-held instruments with a tunable low-power signal generator and an SWR bridge. While an SWR analyzer cannot compute the very high values of SWR at the input of a shorted quarter-wave line at the fundamental frequency, most include another read-out showing the magnitude of the impedance. This is very handy for finding a low-impedance dip, rather than a high-impedance peak.

At the operating frequency, a shorted quarter-wave line results in a high-impedance open-circuit at the input to that line. At twice the frequency, where the line is now one-half wave long electrically, the instrument shows a low-impedance short-circuit. However, when you are pruning a line to length by cutting off short pieces at the end, it is inconvenient to have to install a short before measuring the response. It is far easier to look for the dip in impedance when a quarter-wave line is terminated in an open circuit.

Again, the strategy is to start with a line physically a little longer than a quarter-wave length. A good rule of thumb is to cut the line 5% longer to take into account the variability in the velocity factor of a typical coax cable. Compute this using:

$$\text{Length (feet)} = 0.25 \times 1.05 \times \text{VF} \times 984 / \text{Freq} = \text{VF} / \text{Freq}$$

where

Freq is in MHz

VF is the velocity factor in %.

Plug the coax connector installed at one end of the line into the SWR analyzer and find the frequency for the




Fig 9—Methods of determining $\frac{1}{4}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ - λ line lengths. At A, $\frac{1}{4}$ - λ open-circuited line; at B, $\frac{1}{4}$ - λ shorted and $\frac{1}{2}$ - λ open-circuited line.

impedance dip. Prune the line by snipping off short pieces at the end. Once you've pruned the line to the desired frequency, connect the short at the end of the line and recheck for a short circuit at twice the fundamental frequency. Seal the shorted end of the coax and you're done.

REFLECTOMETERS

Low-cost *reflectometers* that do not have a guaranteed wattmeter calibration are not ordinarily reliable for accurate numerical measurement of standing-wave ratio. They are, however, very useful as aids in the adjustment of matching networks, since the objective in such adjustment is to reduce the reflected voltage or power to zero. Relatively inexpensive devices can be used for this, since only good bridge balance is required, not actual calibration

of SWR. Bridges of this type are usually frequency-sensitive—that is, the meter response increases with increasing frequency for the same applied voltage. When matching and line monitoring, rather than SWR measurement, is the principal use of the device, this is not a serious handicap.

Various simple reflectometers, useful for matching and monitoring, have been described from time to time in *QST* and in *The ARRL Handbook*. Because most of these are frequency sensitive, it is difficult to calibrate them accurately for power measurement, but their low cost and suitability for use at moderate power levels, combined with the ability to show accurately when a matching circuit has been properly adjusted, make them a worthwhile addition to the amateur station.

The Tandem Match—An Accurate Directional Wattmeter

Most SWR meters are not very accurate at low power levels because the detector diodes do not respond to low voltage in a linear fashion. This design uses a compensating circuit to cancel diode nonlinearity. It also provides peak detection for SSB operation and direct SWR readout that does not vary with power level. The following information is condensed from an article by John Grebenkemper, KI6WX, in January 1987 *QST*.

DESIGN PRINCIPLES

Directional wattmeters for Amateur Radio use consist of three basic elements: a directional coupler, a detector and a signal-processing and display circuit. A directional coupler samples forward and reflected-power components on a transmission line. An ideal directional coupler would provide signals proportional to the forward and reflected voltages (independent of frequency), which could then be used to measure forward and reflected power over a wide frequency range. The best contemporary designs work over two decades of frequency.

The detector circuit provides a dc output voltage proportional to the ac input voltage. Most directional watt-

meters use a single germanium diode as the detector element. A germanium, rather than silicon, diode is used to minimize diode nonlinearity at low power levels. Diode non-linearity still causes SWR measurement errors unless it is compensated ahead of the display circuit. Most directional wattmeters do not work well at low power levels because of diode nonlinearity.

The signal-processing and display circuits compute and display the SWR. There are a number of ways to perform this function. Meters that display only the forward and reflected power require the operator to compute the SWR manually. Many instruments require that the operator adjust the meter to a reference level while measuring forward power, then switch to measure reflected power on a special scale that indicates SWR. Meters that directly compute the SWR using analog signal-processing circuits have been described by Fayman, Perras,

Table 1

Performance Specifications for the Tandem Match

Power range: 1.5 to 1500 W

Frequency range: 1.8 to 54 MHz

Power accuracy: Better than $\pm 10\%$ (± 0.4 dB)

SWR accuracy: Better than $\pm 5\%$

Minimum SWR: Less than 1.05:1

Power display: Linear, suitable for use with either analog or digital meters

Calibration: Requires only an accurate voltmeter




Fig 10—The Tandem Match uses a pair of meters to display net forward power and true SWR simultaneously.




Fig 11—Block diagram of the Tandem Match.

Leenerts and Bailey (see the Bibliography at the end of this chapter).

The next section takes a brief look at several popular circuits that accomplish the functions above and compares them to the circuits used in the Tandem Match. The design specifications of the Tandem Match are shown in **Table 1**, and a block diagram is shown in **Fig 11**.

CIRCUIT DESCRIPTION

A directional coupler consists of an input port, an output port and a coupled port. The device takes a portion of the power flowing from the input port to the output port and directs it to the coupled port, but *none* of the power flowing from the output port to the input port is directed to the coupled port.

There are several terms that define the performance of a directional coupler:

1) *Insertion loss* is the amount of power that is lost as the signal flows from the input port to the output port. Insertion loss should be minimized so the coupler doesn't dissipate a significant amount of the transmitted power.

2) *Coupling factor* is the amount of power (or voltage) that appears at the coupled port relative to the amount of power (or voltage) transferred from the input port to the output port. The "flatness" (with frequency) of the coupling factor determines how accurately the directional wattmeter can determine forward and reflected power over a range of frequencies.

3) *Isolation* is the amount of power (or voltage) that appears at the coupled port relative to the amount of power (or voltage) transferred from the output port to the input port.

4) *Directivity* is the isolation less the coupling factor. Directivity dictates the minimum measurable SWR. A directional coupler with 20 dB of directivity measures a 1:1 SWR as 1.22:1, but one with 30 dB measures a 1:1 SWR as 1.07:1.

The directional coupler most commonly used in amateur radio was first described in 1959 by Bruene in *QST* (see Bibliography). The coupling factor was fairly flat (± 1 dB), and the directivity was about 20 dB for a Bruene coupler measured from 3 to 30 MHz. Both factors limit the accuracy of the Bruene coupler for measuring low values of power and SWR. It is a simple directional coupler, however, and it works well over a wide frequency range if great precision is not required.

The coupler used in the Tandem Match (see **Fig 12**) consists of a pair of toroidal transformers connected in tandem. The configuration was patented by Carl G. Sontheimer and Raymond E. Fredrick (US Patent no. 3,426,298, issued February 4, 1969). It has been described by Perras, Spaulding (see Bibliography) and others. With coupling factors of 20 dB or greater, this coupler is suitable for sampling both forward and reflected power.

The configuration used in the Tandem Match works well over the frequency range of 1.8 to 54 MHz, with a




Fig 12—Simplified diagram of the Tandem Match directional coupler. At A, a schematic of the two transformers. At B, an equivalent circuit.

nominal coupling factor of 30 dB. Over this range, insertion loss is less than 0.1 dB. The coupling factor is flat to within ± 0.1 dB from 1.8 to 30 MHz, and increases to only ± 0.3 dB at 50 MHz. Directivity exceeds 35 dB from 1.8 to 30 MHz and exceeds 26 dB at 50 MHz.

The low-frequency limit of this directional coupler is determined by the inductance of the transformer secondary windings. The inductive reactance should be greater than $150\ \Omega$ (three times the line characteristic impedance) to reduce insertion loss. The high-frequency limit of this directional coupler is determined by the length of the transformer windings. When the winding length approaches a significant fraction of a wavelength, coupler performance deteriorates.

The coupler described here may overheat at 1500 W on 160 meters (because of the high circulating current in the secondary of T2). The problem could be corrected by using a larger core or one with greater permeability. A larger core would require longer windings; that option would decrease the high-frequency limit.

Detector Circuits

Most amateur directional wattmeters use a germanium diode detector to minimize the forward voltage drop. Detector voltage drop is still significant, however, and an uncompensated diode detector does not respond to small signals in a linear fashion. Many directional wattmeters compensate for diode nonlinearity by adjusting the meter scale.

The effect of underestimating detected power worsens at low power levels. Under these conditions, the ratio of the forward power to the reflected power is overestimated because the reflected power is always less than the forward power. This results in an instrument that underestimates SWR, particularly as power is reduced. A directional wattmeter can be checked for this effect by measuring SWR at several power levels: the SWR should be independent of power level.

The Tandem Match uses a feedback circuit to compensate for diode nonlinearity. A simplified diagram of the compensated detector is shown in Fig 13. When used




Fig 13—Simplified diagram of the detector circuit used in the Tandem Match. The output voltage, V_o , is approximately equal to the input voltage. D1 and D2 must be a matched pair (see text). The op amp should have a low offset voltage (less than 1 mV), a low leakage current (less than 1 nA), and be stable over time and temperature. The resistor and capacitor in the feedback path assure that the op amp will be stable.

with the 30-dB directional coupler, the output voltage of this circuit tracks the square root of power over a range from 10 mW to 1.5 kW. The compensated diode detector tracks the peak input voltage down to 30 mV, while an uncompensated germanium-diode detector shows significant errors at peak inputs of 1 V and less. More information about compensated detectors appears in Grebenkemper's *QEX* article, "Calibrating Diode Detectors" (see Bibliography).

The compensation circuit uses the voltage across a feedback diode, D2, to compensate for the voltage drop across the detector diode, D1. (The diodes must be a matched pair.) The average current through D1 is determined by the detector diode load resistor, R1. The peak current through this diode is several times larger than the average current; therefore, the current through D2 must be several times larger than the average current through D1 to compensate adequately for the peak voltage drop across D1. This is accomplished by making the feedback-diode load resistor, R2, several times smaller than R1. The voltage at the output of the compensated detector approximates the peak RF voltage at the input. For Schottky barrier diodes and a 1 M Ω detector-diode load resistor, a 5:1 ratio of R1 to R2 is nearly optimal.

Signal-Processing and Display Circuits

The signal-processing circuitry calculates and displays transmission-line power and SWR. When measuring forward power, most directional wattmeters display the actual forward power present in the transmission line, which is the sum of forward and reflected power if a match

exists at the input end of the line. Transmission-line forward power is very close to the net forward power (the actual power delivered to the line) so long as the SWR is low. As the SWR increases, however, forward power becomes an increasingly poor measure of the power delivered to the load. At an SWR of 3:1, a forward power reading of 100 W implies that only 75 W is delivered to the load (the reflected power is 25 W), assuming the transmission-line loss is zero.

The Tandem Match differs from most wattmeters in that it displays the net forward power, rather than the sum of forward and reflected power. This is the quantity that must be optimized to result in maximum radiated power (and which concerns the FCC).

The Tandem Match directly computes and displays the transmission-line SWR on a linear scale. As the displayed SWR is not affected by changes in transmitter power, a matching network can be simply adjusted to minimize SWR. Transmatch adjustment requires only a few watts.

The heart of the Tandem Match signal-processing circuit is the analog logarithm and antilogarithm circuitry shown in Fig 14. The circuit is based on the fact that collector current in a silicon transistor is proportional to the exponential (antilog) of its base-emitter voltage over a range of collector currents from a few nanoamperes to a few milliamperes when the collector-base voltage is zero (see Gibbons and Horn reference in the Bibliography). Variations of this circuit are used in the squaring circuits to convert voltage to power and in the divider circuit used to compute the SWR. With good op amps, this circuit will work well for input voltages from less than 100 mV to greater than 10 V.

(For the Tandem Match, "good" op amps are quad-packaged, low-power-consumption, unity-gain-stable parts with input bias less than 1 nA and offset voltage less than 5 mV. Op amps that consume more power than




Fig 14—Simplified diagrams of the log circuit at A and the antilog circuit at B.




Fig 16—Construction details for the directional coupler.

those shown may require changes to the power supply.)

CONSTRUCTION

The schematic diagram for the Tandem Match is shown in **Fig 15** (see pages 14 and 15). The circuit is designed to operate from batteries and draw very little power. Much of the circuitry is of high impedance, so take care to isolate it from RF fields. House it in a metal case. Most problems in the prototype were caused by stray RF in the op-amp circuitry.

Directional Coupler

The directional coupler is constructed in its own small ($2\frac{3}{4} \times 2\frac{3}{4} \times 2\frac{1}{4}$ -inch) aluminum box (see **Fig 16**). Two pairs of SO-239 connectors are mounted on opposite sides of the box. A piece of PC board is run diagonally across the box to improve coupler directivity. The pieces of RG-8X coaxial cable pass through holes in the PC board.

(Note: Some brands of “mini 8” cable have extremely low breakdown voltage ratings and are unsuitable to carry even 100 W when the SWR exceeds 1:1. See the subsequent section, “High-Power Operation,” for details of a coupler made with RG-8 cable.)

Begin by constructing T1 and T2, which are identical except for their end connections. Refer to **Fig 16**. The primary for each transformer is the center conductor of a length of RG-8X coaxial cable. Cut two cable lengths sufficient for mounting as shown in the figure. Strip the cable jacket, braid and dielectric as shown. The cable braid is used as a Faraday shield between the transformer windings, so it is only grounded at one end. *Important—connect the braid only at one end or the directional-coupler circuit will not work properly!* Wind two transformer secondaries, each 31 turns of #24 enameled wire on an

Amidon T50-3 or equivalent powdered-iron core.

Slip each core over one of the prepared cable pieces (including both the shield and the outer insulation). Mount and connect the transformers as shown in **Fig 16**, with the wire running through separate holes in the copper-clad PC board. The directional coupler can be mounted separately from the rest of the circuitry if desired. If so, use two coaxial cables to carry the forward and reflected-power signals from the directional coupler to the detector inputs. Be aware, however that any losses in the cables will affect power readings.

This directional coupler has not been used at power levels in excess of 100 W. For more information about using the Tandem Match at high power levels, see the section, “High-Power Operation.”

Detector and Signal-Processing Circuits

The detector and signal-processing circuits were constructed on a perforated, copper-clad circuit board. These circuits use two separate grounds—it is *extremely important that the grounds be isolated as shown in the circuit diagram*. Failure to do so may result in faulty circuit operation. Separate grounds prevent RF currents on the cable braid from affecting the op-amp circuitry.

The directional coupler requires good 50- Ω loads. They are constructed on the back of female UHF chassis connectors where the cables from the directional coupler enter the wattmeter housing. Each load consists of four 200- Ω resistors connected from the center conductor of the UHF connector to the four holes on the mounting flange, as shown in **Fig 17**. The detector diode is then run from the center conductor of the connector to the 100-pF and 1000-pF bypass capacitors, which are mounted next to the connector. The response of this load and detector combination measures flat to beyond 500 MHz.

Schottky-barrier diodes (type 1N5711) were used in this design because they were readily available. Any RF-detector diode with a low forward voltage drop (less than 300 mV) and reverse break-down voltage greater than 30 V could be used. (Germanium diodes could be used in this circuit, but performance will suffer. If germanium diodes are used, reduce the resistance values for the detector-diode and feedback-diode load resistors by a factor of 10.)




Fig 17—The parallel load resistors mounted on an SO-239 connector. Four 200- Ω , 2%, $\frac{1}{2}$ -W resistors are mounted in parallel to provide a 50- Ω detector load.





Fig 18—Diode matching test setup.



U5-U7—CA3146 quad transistor array.
U8—LM334 adjustable current source.
U9-U10—LM336 2.5-V reference diode. See text.

Table 2**Range-Switch Resistor Values**

Full-Scale Power Level (W)	Range Resistor (1% Precision) (k Ω)
1	2.32
2	3.24
3	4.02
5	5.23
10	7.68
15	9.53
20	11.0
25	12.7
30	14.0
50	18.7
100	28.7
150	37.4
200	46.4
250	54.9
300	63.4
500	100.0
1000	237.0
1500	649.0
2000	Open

The detector diodes must be matched. This can be done with dc, using the circuit shown in **Fig 18**. Use a high-impedance voltmeter (10 M Ω or greater). For this project, diodes are matched when their forward voltage drops are equal (within a few millivolts). Diodes from the same batch will probably be sufficiently matched.

The rest of the circuit layout is not critical, but keep the lead lengths of the 0.001 and 0.01-pF bypass capacitors short. The capacitors provide additional bypassing for the op-amp circuitry. D6 and D7 form a voltage doubler to detect the presence of a carrier. When the forward power exceeds 1.5 W, Q3 switches on and stays on until about 10 seconds after the carrier drops. (A connection from TP7 to TP9 forces the unit on, even with no carrier present.) The regulated references of +2.5 V and -2.5 V generated by the LM334 and two LM336s are critical. Zener-diode substitutes would significantly degrade performance.

The four op amps in U1 compensate for the nonlinearity of the detector diodes. D1-D2 and D3-D4 are the matched diode pairs discussed above. A RANGE switch selects the meter range. (A six-position switch was used here because it was handy.) The resistor values for the RANGE switch are shown in **Table 2**. Full-scale input power gives an output at U1C or U1D of 7.07 V. The forward and reflected-power detectors are zeroed with R1 and R2.

The forward and reflected-detector voltages are squared by U2, U5 and U6 so that the output voltages are proportional to forward and reflected power. The gain

constants are adjusted using R3 and R4 so that an input of 7.07 V to the squaring circuit gives an output of 5 V. The difference between these two voltages is used by U4B to yield an output that is proportional to the power delivered to the transmission line. This voltage is peak detected (by an RC circuit connected to the OPERATE position of the MODE switch) to hold and indicate the maximum power during CW or SSB transmissions. SWR is computed from the forward and reflected voltages by U3, U4 and U7. When no carrier is present, Q4 forces the SWR reading to be zero (that is, when the forward power is less than 2% of the full-scale setting of the RANGE switch). The SWR computation circuit gain is adjusted by R5. The output is peak detected in the OPERATE mode to steady the SWR reading during CW or SSB transmissions.

Transistor arrays (U5, U6 and U7) are used for the log and antilog circuits to guarantee that the transistors will be well matched. Discrete transistors may be used, but accuracy may suffer. A three-position toggle switch selects the three operating modes. In the OPERATE mode, the power and SWR outputs are peak detected and held for a few seconds to allow meter reading during actual transmissions. In the TUNE mode, the meters display instantaneous output power and SWR.

A digital voltmeter is used to obtain more precise readings than are possible with analog meters. The output power range is 0 to 5 V (0 V = 0 W and 5 V = full scale). SWR output varies from 1 V (SWR = 1:1) to 5 V (SWR = 5:1). Voltages above 5 V are unreliable because of voltage limiting in some of the op amp circuits.

Calibration

The directional wattmeter can be calibrated with an accurate voltmeter. All calibration is done with dc voltages. The directional-coupler and detector circuits are inherently accurate if correctly built. To calibrate the wattmeter, use the following procedure:

- 1) Set the MODE switch to TUNE and the RANGE switch to 100 W or less.
- 2) Jumper TP7 to TP8. This turns the unit on.
- 3) Jumper TP1 to TP2. Adjust R1 for 0 V at TP3.
- 4) Jumper TP4 to TP5. Adjust R2 for 0 V at TP6.
- 5) Adjust R1 for 7.07 V at TP3.
- 6) Adjust R3 for 5.00 V at TP9, or a full-scale reading on M1.
- 7) Adjust R2 for 7.07 V at TP6.
- 8) Adjust R4 for 0 V at TP9, or a zero reading on M1.
- 9) Adjust R2 for 4.71 V at TP6.
- 10) Adjust R5 for 5.00 V at TP10, or a full-scale reading on M2.
- 11) Set the RANGE switch to its most sensitive scale.
- 12) Remove the jumpers from TP1 to TP2 and TP4 to TP5.
- 13) Adjust R1 for 0 V at TP3.
- 14) Adjust R2 for 0 V at TP6.
- 15) Remove the jumper from TP7 to TP8.

This completes the calibration procedure. This pro-

cedure has been found to equal calibration with expensive laboratory equipment. The directional wattmeter should now be ready for use.

ACCURACY

Performance of the Tandem Match has been compared to other well-known directional couplers and laboratory test equipment, and it equals any amateur directional wattmeter tested. Power measurement accuracy compares well to a Hewlett-Packard HP-436A power meter. The HP meter has a specified measurement error of less than ± 0.05 dB. The Tandem Match tracked the HP436A within ± 0.5 dB from 10 mW to 100 W, and within ± 0.1 dB from 1 W to 100 W. The unit was not tested above 100 W because a transmitter with a higher power rating was not available.

SWR performance was equally good when compared to the SWR calculated from measurements made with the HP436A and a calibrated directional coupler. The Tandem Match tracked the calculated SWR within $\pm 5\%$ for SWR values from 1:1 to 5:1. SWR measurements were made at 8 W and 100 W.

OPERATION

Connect the Tandem Match in the 50- Ω line between the transmitter and the antenna matching network (or antenna if no matching network is used). Set the RANGE switch to a range greater than the transmitter output rating and the MODE switch to TUNE. When the transmitter is keyed, the Tandem Match automatically switches on and indicates both power delivered to the antenna and SWR on the transmission line. When no carrier is present, the OUTPUT POWER and SWR meters indicate zero.

The OPERATE mode includes RC circuitry to momentarily hold the peak-power and SWR readings during CW or SSB transmissions. The peak detectors are not ideal, so there could be about 10% variation from the actual power peaks and the SWR reading. The SWR $\times 10$ mode increases the maximum readable SWR to 50:1. This range should be sufficient to cover any SWR value that occurs in amateur use. (A 50-foot open stub of RG-8 yields a measured SWR of only 43:1, or less, at 2.4 MHz because of cable loss. Higher frequencies and longer cables exhibit a lesser maximum SWR.)

It is easy to use the Tandem Match to adjust an antenna matching network: Adjust the transmitter for minimum output power (at least 1.5 W). With the carrier on and the MODE switch set to TUNE or SWR $\times 10$, adjust the matching network for minimum SWR. Once the minimum SWR is obtained, set the transmitter to the proper operating mode and output power. Place the Tandem Match in the OPERATE mode.

DESIGN VARIATIONS

There are several ways in which this design could be enhanced. The most important is to add UHF capabil-

ity. This would require a new directional-coupler design for the band of interest. (The existing detector circuit should work to at least 500 MHz.)

Those who desire a low-power directional wattmeter can build a directional coupler with a 20-dB coupling factor by decreasing the transformer turns ratio to 10:1. That version should be capable of measuring output power from 1 mW to about 150 W (and it should switch on at about 150 mW).

This change should also increase the maximum operating frequency to about 150 MHz (by virtue of the shorter transformer windings). If you desire 1.8-MHz operation, it may be necessary to change the toroidal core material for sufficient reactance (low insertion loss).

The Tandem Match circuit can accommodate coaxial cable with a characteristic impedance other than 50 Ω . The detector terminating resistors, transformer secondaries and range resistors must change to match the new design impedance.

The detector circuitry can be used (without the directional coupler) to measure low-level RF power in 50- Ω circuits. RF is fed directly to the forward detector (J1, Fig 15), and power is read from the output power meter. The detector is quite linear from 10 μ W to 1.5 W.

HIGH-POWER OPERATION

This material was condensed from information by Frank Van Zant, KL7IBA, in July 1989 *QST*. In April 1988, Zack Lau, W1VT, described a directional-coupler circuit (based on the same principle as Grebenkemper's circuit) for a QRP transceiver (see the Bibliography at the end of this chapter). The main advantage of Lau's circuit is a very low parts count.

Grebenkemper used complex log-antilog amplifiers to provide good measurement accuracy. This application gets away from complex circuitry, but retains reasonable measurement accuracy over the 1 to 1500-W range. It also forfeits the SWR-computation feature. Lau's coupler uses ferrite toroids. It works well at low power levels, but the ferrite toroids heat excessively with high power, causing erratic meter readings and the potential for burned parts.

The Revised Design

Powdered-iron toroids are used for the transformers in this version of Lau's basic circuit. The number of turns on the secondaries was increased to compensate for the lower permeability of powdered iron.

Two meters display reflected and forward power (see Fig 19). The germanium detector diodes (D1 and D2—1N34) provide fairly accurate meter readings, particularly if the meter is calibrated (using R3, R4 and R5) to place the normal transmitter output at mid scale. If the winding sense of the transformers is reversed, the meters are transposed (the forward-power meter becomes the reflected-power meter, and vice versa).




Fig 19—Schematic diagram of the high-power directional coupler. D1 and D2 are germanium diodes (1N34 or equiv). R1 and R2 are 47 or 51-Ω, 1/2-W resistors. C1 and C2 have 500-V ratings. The secondary windings of T1 and T2 each consist of 40 turns of #26 to #30 enameled wire on T-68-2 powdered-iron toroid cores. If the coupler is built into an existing antenna tuner, the primary of T1 can be part of the tuner coaxial output line. The remotely located meters (M1 and M2) are connected to the coupler box at J1 and J2 via P1 and P2.

Construction

Fig 20 shows the physical layout of the coupler. The pickup unit is mounted in a 3 1/2 × 3 1/2 × 4-inch box. The meters, PC-mount potentiometers and HIGH/LOW power switch are mounted in a separate box or a compartment in an antenna tuner. Parts for this project are available from the suppliers listed in **Table 3**.

The primary windings of T1 and T2 are constructed much as Grebenkemper described, but use RG-8 with its jacket removed so that the core and secondary winding may fit over the cable. The braid is wrapped with fiberglass tape to insulate it from the secondary winding. An excellent alternative to fiberglass tape—with even higher RF voltage-breakdown characteristics—is ordinary plumber's Teflon pipe tape, available at most hardware stores.

The transformer secondaries are wound on T-68-2 powdered-iron toroid cores. They are 40 turns of #26 to #30 enameled wire spread evenly around each core. By using #26 to #30 wire on the cores, the cores slip over the tape-wrapped RG-8 lines. With #26 wire on the toroids, a




Fig 20—Directional-coupler construction details. Grommets or feedthrough insulators can be used to route the secondary winding of T1 and T2 through the PC board shield. A 3 1/2 × 3 1/2 × 4-inch box serves as the enclosure.

Table 3**Parts Sources***(Also see Chapter 21)**Components*

TLC-series and CA3146 ICs	<i>Source</i> Newark Electronics 4801 N Ravenswood St Chicago, IL 60640 773-784-5100
LM334, LM336, 1% resistors, trimmer potentiometers	Digi-Key Corporation 701 Brooks Ave S PO Box 677 Thief River Falls, MN 56701 800-344-4539
Toroid cores, Fiberglass tape	Amidon Associates 240 & 250 Briggs Ave Costa Mesa, CA 92626 714-850-4660
Meters	Fair Radio Sales PO Box 1105 Lima, OH 45804 419-227-6573
Toroid cores	Palomar Engineers PO Box 462222 Escondido, CA 92046 760-747-3343
0-150/1500-W-scale meters, A&M model no. 255-138, 1N5711 diodes	Surplus Sales of Nebraska 1502 Jones St Omaha, NE 68102 402-346-4750

single layer of tape (slightly more with Teflon tape) over the braid provides an extremely snug fit for the core. Use care when fitting the cores onto the RG-8 assemblies.

After the toroids are mounted on the RG-8 sections, coat the assembly with General Cement Corp Polystyrene Q Dope, or use a spot or two of RTV sealant to hold

the windings in place and fix the transformers on the RG-8 primary windings.

Mount a PC-board shield in the center of the box, between T1 and T2, to minimize coupling between the transformers. Suspend T1 between the SO-239 connectors and T2 between two standoff insulators. The detector circuits (C1, C2, D1, D2, R1 and R2) are mounted inside the coupler box as shown.

Calibration, Tune Up and Operation

The coupler has excellent directivity. Calibrate the meters for various power levels with an RF ammeter and a 50- Ω dummy load. Calculate I^2R for each power level, and mark the meter faces accordingly. Use R3, R4 and R5 to adjust the meter readings within the ranges. Diode nonlinearities are thus taken into account, and Grebenkemper's signal-processing circuits are not needed for relatively accurate power readings. Start the tune-up process using about 10 W, adjust the antenna tuner for minimum reflected power, and increase power while adjusting the tuner to minimize reflected power.

This circuit has been built into several antenna tuners with good success. The instrument works well at 1.5-kW output on 1.8 MHz. It also works well from 3.5 to 30 MHz with 1.2 and 1.5-kW output.

The antenna is easily tuned for a 1:1 SWR using the null indication provided. Amplifier settings for a matched antenna, as indicated with the wattmeter, closely agreed with those for a 50- Ω dummy load. Checks with a Palomar noise bridge and a Heath Antenna Scope also verified these findings. This circuit should handle more than 1.5 kW, as long as the SWR on the feed line through the wattmeter is kept at or near 1:1. (On one occasion high power was applied while the antenna tuner was not coupled to a load. Naturally the SWR was extremely high, and the output transformer secondary winding opened like a fuse. This resulted from the excessively high voltage across the secondary. The damage was easily and quickly repaired.)

An Inexpensive VHF Directional Coupler

Precision in-line metering devices capable of reading forward and reflected power over a wide range of frequencies are very useful in amateur VHF and UHF work, but their rather high cost puts them out of the reach of many VHF enthusiasts. The device shown in Figs 14 through 16 is an inexpensive adaptation of their basic principles. It can be made for the cost of a meter, a few small parts, and bits of copper pipe and fittings that can be found in the plumbing stocks at many hardware stores.

Construction

The sampler consists of a short section of handmade coaxial line, in this instance, of 50 Ω impedance, with a

reversible probe coupled to it. A small pickup loop built into the probe is terminated with a resistor at one end and a diode at the other. The resistor matches the impedance of the loop, not the impedance of the line section. Energy picked up by the loop is rectified by the diode, and the resultant current is fed to a meter equipped with a calibration control.

The principal metal parts of the device are a brass plumbing T, a pipe cap, short pieces of $\frac{3}{4}$ -inch ID and $\frac{5}{16}$ -inch OD copper pipe, and two coaxial fittings. Other available tubing combinations for 50- Ω line may be usable. The ratio of outer conductor ID to inner conductor OD should be 2.4/1. For a sampler to be used with other




Fig 21—Circuit diagram for the line sampler.

C1—500-pF feedthrough capacitor, solder-in type.

C2—1000-pF feedthrough capacitor, threaded type.

D1—Germanium diode 1N34, 1N60, 1N270, 1N295, or similar.

J1, J2—Coaxial connector, type N (UG-58A).

L1—Pickup loop, copper strap 1-inch long \times $\frac{3}{16}$ -inch wide. Bend into “C” shape with flat portion $\frac{5}{8}$ -inch long.

M1—0-100 μ A meter.

R1—Composition resistor, 82 to 100 Ω . See text.

R3—50-k Ω composition control, linear taper.




Fig 22—Major components of the line sampler. The brass T and two end sections are at the upper left in this picture. A completed probe assembly is at the right. The N connectors have their center pins removed. The pins are shown with one inserted in the left end of the inner conductor and the other lying in the right foreground.

impedances of transmission line, see Chapter 24 for suitable ratios of conductor sizes. The photographs and **Fig 21** show construction details.

Soldering of the large parts can be done with a 300-watt iron or a small torch. A neat job can be done if the inside of the T and the outside of the pipe are tinned before assembling. When the pieces are reheated and pushed together, a good mechanical and electrical bond will result. If a torch is used, go easy with the heat, as an overheated and discolored fitting will not accept solder well.

Coaxial connectors with Teflon or other heat-resistant insulation are recommended. Type N, with split-ring retainers for the center conductors, are preferred. Pry the split-ring washers out with a knife point or small screwdriver. Don't lose them, as they'll be needed in the final assembly.

The inner conductor is prepared by making eight radial cuts in one end, using a coping saw with a fine-toothed blade, to a depth of $\frac{1}{2}$ inch. The fingers so made are then bent together, forming a tapered end, as shown in **Figs 22** and **23**. Solder the center pin of a coaxial fitting into this, again being careful not to overheat the work.

In preparation for soldering the body of the coax connector to the copper pipe, it is convenient to use a similar fitting clamped into a vise as a holding fixture. Rest the T assembly on top, held in place by its own weight. Use the partially prepared center conductor to assure that the coax connector is concentric with the outer conductor. After being sure that the ends of the pipe are cut exactly perpendicular to the axis, apply heat to the coax fitting, using just enough so a smooth fillet of solder can be formed where the flange and pipe meet.

Before completing the center conductor, check its length. It should clear the inner surface of the connector by the thickness of the split ring on the center pin. File to length; if necessary, slot as with the other end, and solder the center pin in place. The fitting can now be soldered onto the pipe, to complete the 50- Ω line section.

The probe assembly is made from a $1\frac{1}{2}$ inch length of the copper pipe, with a pipe cap on the top to support the upper feedthrough capacitor, C2. The coupling loop is mounted by means of small Teflon standoffs on a copper disc, cut to fit inside the pipe. The disc has four small tabs around the edge for soldering inside the pipe. The diode, D1, is connected between one end of the loop and




Fig 23—Cross-section view of the line sampler. The pickup loop is supported by two Teflon standoff insulators. The probe body is secured in place with one or more locking screws through holes in the brass T.

a 500-pF feedthrough capacitor, C1, soldered into the disc. The terminating resistor, R1, is connected between the other end of the loop and ground, as directly as possible.

When the disc assembly is completed, insert it into the pipe, apply heat to the outside, and solder the tabs in place by melting solder into the assembly at the tabs. The position of the loop with respect to the end of the pipe will determine the sensitivity of a given probe. For power levels up to 200 watts the loop should extend beyond the face of the pipe about $\frac{5}{32}$ inch. For use at higher power levels the loop should protrude only $\frac{3}{32}$ inch. For operation with very low power levels the best probe position can be determined by experiment.

The decoupling resistor, R2, and feedthrough capacitor, C2, can be connected, and the pipe cap put in place. The threaded portion of the capacitor extends through the cap. Put a solder lug over it before tightening its nut in place. Fasten the cap with two small screws that go into threaded holes in the pipe.

Calibration

The sampler is very useful for many jobs even if it is not accurately calibrated, although it is desirable to calibrate it against a wattmeter of known accuracy. A good 50-Ω VHF dummy load is required.

The first step is to adjust the inductance of the loop, or the value of the terminating resistor, for lowest reflected power reading. The loop is the easier to change. Filing it to reduce its width will increase its impedance. Increasing

the cross-section of the loop will lower the impedance, and this can be done by coating it with solder. When the reflected power reading is reduced as far as possible, reverse the probe and calibrate for forward power by increasing the transmitter power output in steps and making a graph of the meter readings obtained. Use the calibration control, R3, to set the maximum reading.

Variations

Rather than to use one sampler for monitoring both forward and reflected power by repeatedly reversing the




Fig 24—Two versions of the line sampler. The single unit described in detail here is in the foreground. Two sections in a single assembly provide for monitoring forward and reflected power without probe reversal.

probe, it is better to make two assemblies by mounting two T fittings end-to-end, using one for forward and one for reflected power. The meter can be switched between the probes, or two meters can be used.

The sampler described was calibrated at 146 MHz, as it was intended for repeater use. On higher bands the meter reading will be higher for a given power level, and it will be lower for lower frequency bands. Calibration for two or three adjacent bands can be achieved by making the probe depth adjustable, with stops or marks to aid in resetting for a given band. Of course more probes can be made, with each probe calibrated for a given band, as is done in some of the commercially available units.

Other sizes of pipe and fittings can be used by mak-

ing use of information given in Chapter 24 to select conductor sizes required for the desired impedances. (Since it is occasionally possible to pick up good bargains in 75- Ω line, a sampler for this impedance might be desirable.)

Type-N fittings were used because of their constant impedance and their ease of assembly. Most have the splitting retainer, which is simple to use in this application. Some have a crimping method, as do apparently all BNC connectors. If a fitting must be used and cannot be taken apart, drill a hole large enough to clear a soldering-iron tip in the copper-pipe outer conductor. A hole of up to $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch diameter will have very little effect on the operation of the sampler.

A Calorimeter For VHF And UHF Power Measurements

A quart of water in a Styrofoam ice bucket, a roll of small coaxial cable and a thermometer are all the necessary ingredients for an accurate RF wattmeter. Its calibration is independent of frequency. The wattmeter works on the calorimeter principle: A given amount of RF energy is equivalent to an amount of heat, which can be determined by measuring the temperature rise of a known quantity of thermally insulated material. This principle is used in many of the more accurate high-power wattmeters. This procedure was developed by James Bowen, WA4ZRP, and was first described in December 1975 *QST*.

The roll of coaxial cable serves as a dummy load to convert the RF power into heat. RG-174 cable was chosen for use as the dummy load in this calorimeter because of its high loss factor, small size, and low cost. It is a standard 50- Ω cable of approximately 0.11 inch diameter. A prepackaged roll marked as 60 feet long, but measured to be 68 feet, was purchased at a local electronics store. A plot of measured RG-174 loss factor as a function of frequency is shown in **Fig 25**.

In use, the end of the cable not connected to the transmitter is left open-circuited. Thus, at 50 MHz, the reflected wave returning to the transmitter (after making a round trip of 136 feet through the cable) is $6.7 \text{ dB} \times 1.36 = 9.11 \text{ dB}$ below the forward wave. A reflected wave 9.11 dB down represents an SWR to the transmitter of 2.08:1. While this value seems larger than would be desired, keep in mind that most 50-MHz transmitters can be tuned to match into an SWR of this magnitude efficiently. To assure accurate results, merely tune the transmitter for maximum power into the load before making




Fig 25—Loss factor of RG-174 coax used in the calorimeter.

Table 4

Calculated Input SWR for 68 Feet of Unterminated RG-174 Cable

Freq. (MHz)	SWR
50	2.08
144	1.35
220	1.20
432	1.06
1296	1.003
2304	1.0003

the measurement. At higher frequencies the cable loss increases so the SWR goes down. **Table 4** presents the calculated input SWR values at several frequencies for 68 feet of RG-174. At 1000 MHz and above, the SWR caused by the cable connector will undoubtedly exceed the very low cable SWR listed for these frequencies.

In operation, the cable is submerged in a quart of water and dissipated heat energy flows from the cable into the water, raising the water temperature. See **Fig 26**. The calibration of the wattmeter is based on the physical fact that one calorie of heat energy will raise one gram of liquid water 1° Celsius. Since one quart of water contains 946.3 grams, the transmitter must deliver 946.3 calories of heat energy to the water to raise its temperature 1° C. One calorie of energy is equivalent to 4.186 joules and a joule is equal to 1 W for 1 second. Thus, the heat capacitance of 1 quart of water expressed in joules is $946.3 \times 4.186 = 3961$ joules/° C.

The heat capacitance of the cable is small with respect to that of the water, but nevertheless its effect should be included for best accuracy. The heat capacitance of the cable was determined in the manner described below. The 68-foot roll of RG-174 cable was raised to a uniform temperature of 100° C by immersing it in a pan of boiling water for several minutes. A quart of tap water was poured into the Styrofoam ice bucket and its temperature

was measured at 28.7° C. the cable was then transferred quickly from the boiling water to the water in the ice bucket. After the water temperature in the ice bucket had ceased to rise, it measured 33.0° C. Since the total heat gained by the quart of water was equal to the total heat lost from the cable, we can write the following equation:

$$(\Delta T_{\text{WATER}})(C_{\text{WATER}}) = -(\Delta T_{\text{CABLE}})(C_{\text{CABLE}})$$

where

ΔT_{WATER} = the change in water temperature

C_{WATER} = the water heat capacitance

ΔT_{CABLE} = the change in cable temperature

C_{CABLE} = the cable heat capacitance

Substituting and solving:

$$(33.0 - 28.7)(3961) = - (33.0 - 100)(C_{\text{CABLE}})$$

Thus, the total heat capacitance of the water and cable in the calorimeter is $3961 + 254 = 4215$ joules/° C. Since 1° F = 5/9° C, the total heat capacitance can also be expressed as $4215 \times 5/9 = 2342$ joules/° F.

Materials and Construction

The quart of water and cable must be thermally insulated to assure that no heat is gained from or lost to the surroundings. A Styrofoam container is ideal for this purpose since Styrofoam has a very low thermal conductivity and a very low thermal capacitance. A local variety store was the source of a small Styrofoam cold chest with compartments for carrying sandwiches and drink cans. The rectangular compartment for sandwiches was found to be just the right size for holding the quart of water and coax.

The thermometer can be either a Celsius or Fahrenheit type, but try to choose one that has divisions for each degree spaced wide enough so that the temperature can be estimated readily to one-tenth degree. Photographic supply stores carry darkroom thermometers, which are ideal for this purpose. In general, glass bulb thermometers are more accurate than mechanical dial-pointer types.

The RF connector on the end of the cable should be a constant-impedance type. A BNC type connector especially designed for use on 0.11-inch diameter cable was located through surplus channels. If you cannot locate one of these, wrap plastic electrical tape around the cable near its end until the diameter of the tape wrap is the same as that of RG-58. Then connect a standard BNC connector for RG-58 in the normal fashion. Carefully seal the opposite open end of the cable with plastic tape or silicone caulking compound so no water can leak into the cable at this point.

Procedure for Use

Pour 1 quart of water (4 measuring cups) into the Styrofoam container. As long as the water temperature is not very hot or very cold, it is unnecessary to cover the top of the Styrofoam container during measurements. Since the transmitter will eventually heat the water sev-




Fig 26—The calorimeter ready for use. The roll of coaxial cable is immersed in one quart of water in the left-hand compartment of the Styrofoam container. Also shown is the thermometer, which doubles as a stirring rod.

eral degrees, water initially a few degrees cooler than air temperature is ideal because the average water temperature will very nearly equal the air temperature and heat transfer to the air will be minimized.

Connect the RG-174 dummy load to the transmitter through the shortest possible length of lower loss cable such as RG-8. Tape the connectors and adapter at the RG-8 to RG-174 joint carefully with plastic tape to prevent water from leaking into the connectors and cable at this point. Roll the RG-174 into a loose coil and submerge it in the water. Do not bind the turns of the coil together in any way, as the water must be able to freely circulate among the coaxial cable turns. All the RG-174 cable must be submerged in the water to ensure sufficient cooling. Also submerge part of the taped connector attached to the RG-174 as an added precaution.

Upon completing the above steps, quickly tune up the transmitter for maximum power output into the load. Cease transmitting and stir the water slowly for a minute or so until its temperature has stabilized. Then measure the water temperature as precisely as possible. After the initial temperature has been determined, begin the test

transmission, measuring the total number of seconds of key-down time accurately. Stir the water slowly with the thermometer and continue transmitting until there is a significant rise in the water temperature, say 5° to 10°. The test may be broken up into a series of short periods, as long as you keep track of the total key-down time. When the test is completed, continue to stir the water slowly and monitor its temperature. When the temperature ceases to rise, note the final indication as precisely as possible.

To compute the transmitter power output, multiply the calorimeter heat capacitance (4215 for C or 2342 for F) by the difference in initial and final water temperature. Then divide by the total number of seconds of key-down time. The resultant is the transmitter power in watts. A nomogram that can also be used to find transmitter power output is given in **Fig 27**. With a straight line, connect the total number of key-down seconds in the time column to the number of degrees change (F or C) in the temperature rise column, and read off the transmitter power output at the point where the straight line crosses the power-output column.

Power Limitation

The maximum power handling capability of the calorimeter is limited by the following. At very high powers the dielectric material in the coaxial line will melt because of excessive heating or the cable will arc over from excessive voltage. As the transmitter frequency gets higher, the excessive-heating problem is accentuated, as more of the power is dissipated in the first several feet of cable. For instance, at 1296 MHz, approximately 10% of the transmitting power is dissipated in the first foot of cable. Overheating can be prevented when working with high power by using a low duty cycle to reduce the average dissipated power. Use a series of short transmissions, such as two seconds on, ten seconds off. Keep count of the total key-down time for power calculation purposes. If the cable arcs over, use a larger-diameter cable, such as RG-58, in place of the RG-174. The cable should be long enough to assure that the reflected wave will be down 10 dB or more at the input. It may be necessary to use more than one quart of water in order to submerge all the cable conveniently. If so, be sure to calculate the new value of heat capacitance for the larger quantity of water. Also you should measure the new coaxial cable heat capacitance using the method previously described.




Fig 27—Nomogram for finding transmitter power output for the calorimeter.

A Noise Bridge For 1.8 Through 30 MHz

The noise bridge, sometimes referred to as an antenna (RX) noise bridge, is an instrument for measuring the impedance of an antenna or other electrical circuits. The unit shown here in **Fig 28**, designed for use in the 1.8 through 30-MHz range, provides adequate accuracy for most measurements. Battery operation and small physical size

make this unit ideal for remote-location use. Tone modulation is applied to the wide-band noise generator as an aid for obtaining a null indication. A detector, such as the station receiver, is required for operation.

The noise bridge consists of two parts—the noise generator and the bridge circuitry. See **Fig 29**. A 6.8-V





Fig 28—Exterior and interior views of the noise bridge. The unit is finished in red enamel. Press-on lettering is used for the calibration marks. Note that the potentiometer must be isolated from ground.

Fig 29—Schematic diagram of the noise bridge. Use $\frac{1}{4}$ W composition resistors. Capacitors are miniature ceramic units unless indicated otherwise. Component designations indicated in the schematic but not called out in the parts list are for text and parts-placement reference only.



BT1—9-V battery, NEDA 1604A or equiv.

C1—15- to 150-pF variable

C2—20-pF mica.

C3—47-pF mica.

C4—82-pF mica.

J1, J2—Coaxial connector.

R1—Linear, 250 Ω , AB type. Use a good grade of resistor.

S1, S2—Toggle, SPST.

T1—Transformer; 3 windings on an Amidon BLN-43-2402 ferrite binocular core. Each winding is three turns of #30 enameled wire. One turn is equal to the wire passing once through both holes in the core. The primary winding starts on one side of the transformer, and the secondary and tertiary windings start on the opposite side.

U1—Timer, NE555 or equiv.

Zener diode serves as the noise source. U1 generates an approximate 50% duty cycle, 1000-Hz square wave signal which is applied to the cathode of the Zener diode. The 1000-Hz modulation appears on the noise signal and provides a useful null detection enhancement effect. The broadband-noise signal is amplified by Q1, Q2 and associated components to a level that produces an approximate S9 signal in the receiver. Slightly more noise is available at the lower end of the frequency range, as no frequency compensation is applied to the amplifier. Roughly 20 mA of current is drawn from the 9-V battery, thus ensuring long battery life—providing the power

is switched off after use!

The bridge portion of the circuit consists of T1, C1, C2 and R1. T1 is a trifilar wound transformer with one of the windings used to couple noise energy into the bridge circuit. The remaining two windings are arranged so that each one is in an arm of the bridge. C1 and R1 complete one arm and the UNKNOWN circuit, along with C2, comprise the remainder of the bridge. The terminal labeled RCVR is for connection to the detector.

The reactance range of a noise bridge is dependent on several factors, including operating frequency, value of the series capacitor (C3 or C3 plus C4 in Fig 29) and the range of the variable capacitor (C1 in Fig 29). The RANGE switch selects reactance measurements weighted toward either capacitance or inductance by placing C4 in parallel with C3. The zero-reactance point occurs when C1 is either nearly fully meshed or fully unmeshed. The RANGE switch nearly doubles the resolution of the reactance readings.

CONSTRUCTION

The noise bridge is contained in a homemade aluminum enclosure that measures $5 \times 2\frac{3}{8} \times 3\frac{3}{4}$ inches. Many of the circuit components are mounted on a circuit board that is fastened to the rear wall of the cabinet. The circuit-board layout is such that the lead lengths to the board from the bridge and coaxial connectors are at a minimum. An etching pattern and a parts-placement guide for the circuit board are shown in Figs 30 and 31.

Care must be taken when mounting the potentiometer, R1. For accurate readings the potentiometer must be well insulated from ground. In the unit shown this was accomplished by mounting the control on a piece of plexiglass, which in turn was fastened to the chassis with a piece of aluminum angle stock.

Additionally, a $\frac{1}{4}$ -inch control-shaft coupling and a length of phenolic rod were used to further isolate the control from ground where the shaft passes through the front panel. A high-quality potentiometer is required if good measurement results are to be obtained.

There is no such problem when mounting the variable capacitor because the rotor is grounded. Use a high-quality capacitor; do not try to save money on that component. Two RF connectors on the rear panel are connected to a detector (receiver) and to the UNKNOWN circuit. Do not use plastic-insulated phono connectors (they might influence bridge accuracy at higher frequencies). Use miniature coaxial cable (RG-174) between the RCVR connector and circuit board. Attach one end of C3 to the circuit board and the other directly to the UNKNOWN circuit connector.

Bridge Compensation

Stray capacitance and inductance in the bridge circuit can affect impedance readings. If a very accurate bridge is required, use the following steps to counter the effects of stray reactance. Because the physical location




Fig 30—Etching pattern for the noise bridge PC board, at actual size. Black represents copper. This is the pattern for the bottom side of the board. The top side of the board is a complete ground plane with a small amount of copper removed from around the component holes.




Fig 31—Parts-placement guide for the noise bridge as viewed from the component or top side of the board. Mounting holes are located in two corners of the board, as shown.




Fig 32—Construction details of the resistive loads used to check and calibrate the noise bridge. Each of the loads is constructed inside a coaxial connector that matches those on the bridge. (Views shown are cross-sections of PL-259 bodies; the sleeves are not shown.) Leads should be kept as short as possible to minimize parasitic inductance. A is a 0-Ω load; B depicts a 50-Ω load; C is a 180-Ω load; D shows a variable-resistance load used to determine the loss in a coaxial cable.

of the board, connectors and controls in the cabinet determine where compensation is needed, there is no provision for the compensation components on the printed circuit board.

Good calibration loads are necessary to check the accuracy of the noise bridge. Four are needed here: a 0-Ω (short-circuit) load, a 50-Ω load, a 180-Ω load, and a variable-resistance load. The short-circuit and fixed-resistance loads are used to check the accuracy of the noise bridge; the variable-resistance load is used when measuring coaxial-cable loss.

Construction details of the loads are shown in **Fig 32**. Each load is constructed inside a connector. When building the loads, keep leads as short as possible to minimize parasitic effects. The resistors must be noninductive (*not* wirewound).

Quarter-watt, carbon-composition resistors should work fine. The potentiometer in the variable-resistance

load is a miniature PC-mount unit with a maximum resistance of 100 Ω or less. The potentiometer wiper and one of the end leads are connected to the center pin of the connector; the other lead is connected to ground.

Stray Capacitance

Stray capacitance on the variable-resistor side of the bridge tends to be higher than that on the unknown side. This is so because the parasitic capacitance in the variable resistor, R1, is comparatively high.

The effect of parasitic capacitance is most easily detected using the 180-Ω load. Measure and record the actual resistance of the load, R_L. Connect the load to the UNKNOWN connector, place S2 in the X_L position, tune the receiver to 1.8 MHz, and null the bridge. (See the section, “Finding the Null” for tips.) Use an ohmmeter across R1 to measure its dc resistance. The magnitude of the stray capacitance can be calculated by

$$C_p = C3 \left(\frac{\sqrt{\frac{R1}{R2}} - 1}{\sqrt{\frac{R1}{R2}} + 1} \right) \quad (\text{Eq 4})$$

where

R_L = load resistance (as measured)

R1 = resistance of the variable resistor

C3 = series capacitance.

You can compensate for C_p by placing a variable capacitor, C_C, in the side of the bridge with lesser stray capacitance. If R1 is greater than R_L, stray capacitance is greater on the variable resistor side of the bridge: Place C_C between point U (on the circuit board) and ground. If R1 is less than R_L, stray capacitance is greater on the unknown side: Place C_C between point B and ground. If the required compensating capacitance is only a few picofarads, you can use a gimmick capacitor (made by twisting two short pieces of insulated, solid wire together) for C_C. A gimmick capacitor is adjusted by trimming its length.

Stray Inductance

Parasitic inductance, if present, should be only a few tens of nanohenries. This represents a few ohms of inductive reactance at 30 MHz. The effect is best observed by reading the reactance of the 0-Ω test load at 1.8 and 30 MHz; the indicated reactance should be the same at both frequencies.

If the reactance reading decreases as frequency is increased, parasitic inductance is greater in the known arm, and compensating inductance is needed between point U and C3. If the reactance increases with frequency, the unknown-arm inductance is greater, and compensating inductance should be placed between point B and R1.

Compensate for stray inductance by placing a single-turn coil, made from a 1 to 2-inch length of solid wire, in the appropriate arm of the bridge. Adjust the size of this coil until the reactance reading remains constant from 1.8 to 30 MHz.

Calibration

Good calibration accuracy is necessary for accurate noise-bridge measurements. Calibration of the resistance scale is straightforward. To do this, tune the receiver to a frequency near 10 MHz. Attach the 0- Ω load to the UNKNOWN connector and null the bridge. This is the zero-resistance point; mark it on the front-panel resistance scale. The rest of the resistance range is calibrated by adjusting R1, measuring R1 with an accurate ohmmeter, calculating the increase from the zero point and marking the increase on the front panel.

Most bridges have the reactance scale marked in capacitance because capacitance does not vary with frequency. Unfortunately, that requires calibration curves or non-trivial calculations to arrive at the load reactance. An alternative method is to mark the reactance scale in *ohms* at a reference frequency of 10 MHz. This method calibrates the bridge near the center of its range and displays reactance directly, but it requires a simple calculation to scale the reactance reading for frequencies other than 10 MHz. The scaling equation is:

$$X_{u(f)} \equiv X_{u(10)} \frac{10}{f} \quad (\text{Eq 5})$$

where

f = frequency in MHz

$X_{u(10)}$ = reactance of the unknown load at 10 MHz

$X_{u(f)}$ = reactance of the unknown load at f .

A shorted piece of coaxial cable serves as a reactance source. (The reactance of a shorted, low-loss coaxial cable is dependent only on the cable length, the measurement frequency and the cable characteristic impedance.) Radio Shack RG-8M is used here because it is readily available, has relatively low loss and has an almost purely resistive characteristic impedance.

Prepare the calibration cable as follows:

- 1) Cut a length of coaxial cable that is slightly longer than $\frac{1}{4} \lambda$ at 10 MHz (about 20 feet for RG-8M). Attach a suitable connector to one end of the cable; leave the other end open-circuited.
- 2) Connect the 0- Ω load to the noise bridge UNKNOWN connector and set the receiver frequency to 10 MHz. Adjust the noise bridge for a null. Do not adjust the reactance control after the null is found.
- 3) Connect the calibration cable to the bridge UNKNOWN terminal. Null the bridge by adjusting *only* the variable resistor and the receiver frequency. The receiver frequency should be less than 10 MHz; if it is above 10 MHz, the cable is too short, and you need to prepare a longer one.
- 4) Gradually cut short lengths from the end of the coaxial cable until you obtain a null at 10 MHz by adjusting only the resistance control. Then connect the cable center and shield conductors at the open end with a short length of braid. Verify that the bridge nulls with zero reactance at 20 MHz.

- 5) The reactance of the coaxial cable (normalized to 10 MHz) can be calculated from:

$$X_{i(10)} \equiv R_0 \frac{f}{10} \tan \left(\frac{2\pi f}{40} \right) \quad (\text{Eq 6})$$

where

$X_{i(10)}$ = cable reactance at 10 MHz

R_0 = characteristic resistance of the coaxial cable (52.5 Ω for Radio Shack RG-8M)

f = frequency in MHz

The results of Eq 6 have less than 5% error for reactances less than 500 Ω , so long as the test-cable loss is less than 0.2 dB. This error becomes significantly less at lower reactances (2% error at 300 Ω for a 0.2-dB-loss cable). The loss in 18 feet of RG-8M is 0.13 dB at 10 MHz. Reactance data for Radio Shack RG-8M is given in **Table 5**.

Table 5
Noise Bridge Calibration Data: Coaxial-Cable Method

This data is for Radio Shack RG-8M cable ($R_0=52.5 \Omega$) cut to exactly $\frac{1}{4} \lambda$ at 10 MHz; the reactances and capacitances shown correspond to this frequency.

Reactance				Capacitance	
X_i	$f(\text{MHz})$	X_i	$f(\text{MHz})$	$C(\text{pF})$	$f(\text{MHz})$
10	3.318	-10	19.376	10	9.798
20	4.484	-20	18.722	20	9.612
30	5.262	-30	18.048	30	9.440
40	5.838	-40	17.368	40	9.280
50	6.286	-50	16.701	50	9.130
60	6.647	-60	16.062	60	8.990
70	6.943	-70	15.471	70	8.859
80	7.191	-80	14.936	80	8.735
90	7.404	-90	14.462	90	8.618
100	7.586	-100	14.044	100	8.508
110	7.747	-110	13.682	110	8.403
120	7.884	-120	13.369	120	8.304
130	8.009	-130	13.097	130	8.209
140	8.119	-140	12.861	140	8.119
150	8.217	-150	12.654		
160	8.306	-160	12.473		
170	8.387	-170	12.313		
180	8.460	-180	12.172		
190	8.527	-190	12.045		
200	8.588	-200	11.932	$C(\text{pF})$	$f(\text{MHz})$
210	8.645	-210	11.831	-10	10.219
220	8.697	-220	11.739	-20	10.459
230	8.746	-230	11.655	-30	10.721
240	8.791	-240	11.579	-40	11.010
250	8.832	-250	11.510	-50	11.328
260	8.872	-260	11.446	-60	11.679
270	8.908	-270	11.387	-70	12.064
280	8.942	-280	11.333	-80	12.484
290	8.975	-290	11.283	-90	12.935
300	9.005	-300	11.236	-100	13.407
350	9.133	-350	11.045	-110	13.887
400	9.232	-400	10.905	-120	14.357
450	9.311	-450	10.798	-130	14.801
500	9.375	-500	10.713	-140	15.211

With the prepared cable and calibration values on hand, proceed to calibrate the reactance scale. Tune the receiver to the appropriate frequency for the desired reactance (given in Table 5, or found using Eq 6). Adjust the resistance and reactance controls to null the bridge. Mark the reactance reading on the front panel. Repeat this process until all desired reactance values have been marked. The resistance values needed to null the bridge during this calibration procedure may be significant (more than 100 Ω) at the higher reactances.

This calibration method is much more accurate than using fixed capacitors across the UNKNOWN connector. Also, you can calibrate a noise bridge in less than an hour using this method.

Finding the Null

In use, a receiver is attached to the RCVR connector and some load of unknown value is connected to the UNKNOWN terminal. The receiver allows us to hear the noise present across the bridge arms at the frequency of the receiver passband. The strength of the noise signal depends on the strength of the noise-bridge battery, the receiver bandwidth/sensitivity and the impedance difference between the known and unknown bridge arms. The noise is stronger and the null more obvious with wide receiver passbands. Set the receiver to the widest bandwidth AM mode available.

The noise-bridge output is heard as a 1000-Hz tone. When the impedances of the known and unknown bridge arms are equal, the voltage across the receiver is minimized; this is a null. In use, the null may be difficult to find because it appears only when both bridge controls approach the values needed to balance the bridge.

To find the null, set C1 to mid-scale, sweep R1 slowly through its range and listen for a reduction in noise (it's also helpful to watch the S meter). If no reduction is heard, set R1 to mid-range and sweep C1. If there is still no reduction, begin at one end of the C1 range and sweep R1. Increment C1 about 10% and sweep R1 with each increment until some noise reduction appears. Once noise reduction begins, adjust C1 and R1 alternately for minimum signal.

MEASURING COAXIAL-CABLE PARAMETERS WITH A NOISE BRIDGE

Coaxial cables have a number of properties that affect the transmission of signals through them. Generally, radio amateurs are concerned with cable attenuation and characteristic impedance. If you plan to use a noise bridge or SWR analyzer to make antenna-impedance measurements, however, you need to accurately determine not just cable impedance and attenuation, but also electrical length. Fortunately, all of these parameters are easy to measure with an accurate noise bridge or SWR analyzer.

Cable Electrical Length

With a noise bridge and a general-coverage receiver,

you can easily locate frequencies at which the line in question is a multiple of $\frac{1}{2} \lambda$, because a shorted $\frac{1}{2} \lambda$ line has a 0- Ω impedance (neglecting line loss). By locating two adjacent null frequencies, you can solve for the length of line in terms of $\frac{1}{2} \lambda$ at one of the frequencies and calculate the line length (overall accuracy is limited by bridge accuracy and line loss, which broadens the nulls). As an interim variable, you can express cable length as the frequency at which a cable is 1 λ long. This length will be represented by $f \lambda$. Follow these steps to determine $f \lambda$ for a coaxial cable.

- 1) Tune the receiver to the frequency range of interest. Attach the short-circuit load to the noise bridge UNKNOWN connector and null the bridge.
- 2) Disconnect the far end of the coaxial cable from its load (the antenna) and connect it to the 0- Ω test load. Connect the near end of the cable to the bridge UNKNOWN connector.
- 3) Adjust the receiver frequency and the noise-bridge resistance control for a null. *Do not change the noise bridge reactance-control setting during this procedure.* Note the frequency at which the null is found; call this frequency f_n . The noise-bridge resistance at the null should be relatively small (less than 20 Ω).
- 4) Tune the receiver upward in frequency until the next null is found. Adjust the resistance control, if necessary, to improve the null, *but do not adjust the reactance control.* Note the frequency at which this second null is found; this is f_{n+2} .
- 5) Solve Eq 7 for n and the electrical length of the cable.

$$n = \frac{2f_n}{f_{n+2} - f_n} \quad (\text{Eq 7})$$

$$f_{\lambda} = \frac{4f_n}{n} \quad (\text{Eq 8})$$

where

- n = cable electrical length in quarter waves, at f_n
- f_1 = frequency at which the cable is 1 λ
- ℓ = cable electrical length, in λ

For example, consider a 74-foot length of Columbia 1188 foam-dielectric cable (velocity factor = 0.78) to be used on the 10-meter band. Based on the manufacturer's specification, the cable is 2.796 λ at 29 MHz. Nulls were found at 24.412 (f_n) and 29.353 (f_{n+2}) MHz. Eq 7 yields $n = 9.88$, which produces 9.883 MHz from Eq 8 and 2.934 λ for Eq 9. If the manufacturer's specification is correct, the measured length is off by less than 5%, which is very reasonable. Ideally, n would yield an integer. The difference between n and the closest integer indicates that there is some error.

This procedure also works for lines with an open circuit as the termination (n will be close to an odd number). End effects from the PL-259 increase the effective length of the coaxial cable; however, this decreases the calculated f_{λ} .

Cable Characteristic Impedance

The characteristic impedance of the coaxial cable is found by measuring its input impedance at two frequencies separated by $1/4 f_\lambda$. This must be done when the cable is terminated in a resistive load.

Characteristic impedance changes slowly as a function of frequency, so this measurement must be done near the frequency of interest. The measurement procedure is as follows.

- 1) Place the 50- Ω load on the far end of the coaxial cable and connect the near end to the UNKNOWN connector of the noise bridge. (Measurement error is minimized when the load resistance is close to the characteristic impedance of the cable. This is the reason for using the 50- Ω load.)
- 2) Tune the receiver approximately $1/8 f$ below the frequency of interest. Adjust the bridge resistance and reactance controls to obtain a null, and note their readings as R_{f1} and X_{f1} . Remember, the reactance reading must be scaled to the measurement frequency.
- 3) Increase the receiver frequency by exactly $1/4 f_\lambda$. Null the bridge again, and note the readings as R_{f2} and X_{f2} .
- 4) Calculate the characteristic impedance of the coaxial cable using Eqs 10 through 15. A scientific calculator is helpful for this.

$$\ell = \frac{f_0}{f_{\lambda=}} \quad (\text{Eq 9})$$

$$R = R_{f1} \times \frac{f_{f2}}{f_{f1}} - X_{f1} \times \frac{X_{f2}}{R_{f2}} \quad (\text{Eq 10})$$

$$X = R_{f1} \times \frac{X_{f2}}{R_{f2}} + \frac{X_{f1}}{R_{f1}} \times R_{f2} \quad (\text{Eq 11})$$

$$Z = \sqrt{R^2 + X^2} \quad (\text{Eq 12})$$

$$R_0 = \sqrt{Z} \cos \left[\frac{\pi}{2} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{X}{R} \right) \right] \quad (\text{Eq 13})$$

$$X_0 = \sqrt{Z} \sin \left[\frac{\pi}{2} \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{X}{R} \right) \right] \quad (\text{Eq 14})$$

$$Z_0 = R_0 + jX_0 \quad (\text{Eq 15})$$

Let's continue with the example used earlier for cable length. The measurements are:

$$f1 = 29.000 - (9.883 / 8) = 27.765 \text{ MHz}$$

$$R_{f1} = 64 \Omega$$

$$X_{f1} = -22 \Omega \times (10 / 27.765) = -7.9 \Omega$$

$$f2 = 27.765 + (9.883/4) = 30.236 \text{ MHz}$$

$$R_{f2} = 50 \Omega$$

$$X_{f2} = -24 \Omega \times (10 / 30.236) = -7.9 \Omega$$

When used in Eqs 10 through 15, these data yield:

$$R = 3137.59$$

$$X = -900.60$$

$$Z = 3264.28$$

$$R_0 = 56.58 \Omega$$

$$X_0 = -7.96 \Omega$$

Cable Attenuation

Cable loss can be measured once the cable electrical length and characteristic resistance are known. The measurement must be made at a frequency where the cable presents no reactance. Reactance is zero when the cable electrical length is an integer multiple of $\lambda/4$. You can easily meet that condition by making the measurement frequency an integer multiple of $1/4 f_\lambda$. Loss at other frequencies can be interpolated with reasonable accuracy. This procedure employs a resistor-substitution method that provides much greater accuracy than is achieved by directly reading the resistance from the noise-bridge scale.

- 1) Determine the approximate frequency at which you want to make the loss measurement by using

$$n = \frac{4f_0}{f_{\lambda=}} \quad (\text{Eq 16A})$$

Round n to the nearest integer, then

$$f1 = \frac{n}{4} f_{\lambda=} \quad (\text{Eq 16B})$$

- 2) If n is odd, leave the far end of the cable open; if n is even, connect the 0- Ω load to the far end of the cable. Attach the near end of the cable to the UNKNOWN connector on the noise bridge.
- 3) Set the noise bridge to zero reactance and the receiver to f1. Fine tune the receiver frequency and the noise-bridge resistance to find the null.
- 4) Disconnect the cable from the UNKNOWN terminal, and connect the variable-resistance calibration load in its place. Without changing the resistance setting on the bridge, adjust the load resistor and the bridge reactance to obtain a null.
- 5) Remove the variable-resistance load from the bridge UNKNOWN terminal and measure the load resistance using an ohmmeter that's accurate at low resistance levels. Refer to this resistance as R_i .
- 6) Calculate the cable loss in decibels using

$$a\ell = 8.69 \frac{R_i}{R_0} \quad (\text{Eq 17})$$

To continue this example, Eq 16A gives $n = 11.74$, so measure the attenuation at $n = 12$. From Eq 16B, $f1 = 29.649 \text{ MHz}$. The input resistance of the cable measures 12.1Ω with 0- Ω load on the far end of the cable; this corresponds to a loss of 1.86 dB.

USING A BRIDGE TO MEASURE THE IMPEDANCE OF AN ANTENNA

The impedance at the end of a transmission line can be easily measured using a noise bridge or SWR analyzer. In many cases, however, you really want to measure the impedance of an antenna—that is, the impedance of the load at the far end of the line. There are several ways to handle this.

- 1) Measurements can be made with the bridge at the antenna. This is usually not practical because the antenna must be in its final position for the measurement to be accurate. Even if it can be done, making such a measurement is certainly not very convenient.
- 2) Measurements can be made at the source end of a coaxial cable—if the cable length is an exact integer multiple of $\frac{1}{2} \lambda$. This effectively restricts measurements to a single frequency.
- 3) Measurements can be made at the source end of a coaxial cable and corrected using a Smith Chart as shown in Chapter 28. This graphic method can result in reasonable estimates of antenna impedance—as long as the SWR is not too high and the cable is not too lossy. However, it doesn't compensate for the complex impedance characteristics of real-world coaxial cables. Also, compensation for cable loss can be tricky to apply. These problems, too, can lead to significant errors.
- 4) Last, measurements can be corrected using the transmission-line equation. The *TLW* program included on the CD-ROM in the back of this book, can do these complicated computations for you. This is the best method for calculating antenna impedances from measured parameters, but it requires that you measure the feed-line characteristics beforehand—measurements for which you need access to both ends of the feed line.

The procedure for determining antenna impedance is to first measure the electrical length, characteristic impedance, and attenuation of the coaxial cable connected to the antenna. After making these measurements, connect the antenna to the coaxial cable and measure the input impedance of the cable at a number of frequencies. Then

Table 6
Impedance Data for Inverted-V Antenna

Freq (MHz)	R_u (Ω)	X_u @ 10 MHz (Ω)	X_u (Ω)	R_L (Ω)	X_L (Ω)
27.0	44	85	31.5	24	-65
27.2	60	95	34.9	26	-56
27.4	75	85	31.0	30	-51
27.6	90	40	14.5	32	-42
27.8	90	-20	-7.2	35	-34
28.0	75	-58	-20.7	38	-24
28.2	65	-65	-23.0	40	-19
28.4	56	-52	-18.3	44	-12
28.6	50	-40	-14.0	44	-6
28.8	48	-20	-6.9	47	1
29.0	50	0	0.0	52	8
29.2	55	20	6.8	57	15
29.4	64	30	10.2	63	21
29.6	78	20	6.8	75	26
29.8	85	0	0	78	30
30.0	90	-50	-16.7	89	33

use these measurements in the transmission-line equation to determine the actual antenna impedance at each frequency.

Table 6 and **Fig 33** give an example of such a calculation. The antenna used for this example is a 10-meter inverted V about 30 feet above the ground. The arms of the antenna are separated by a 120° angle. Each arm is exactly 8 feet long, and the antenna is made of #14 wire. The feed line is the 74-foot length of Columbia 1188 characterized earlier.

See Fig 33A. From this plot of impedance measurements, it is very difficult to determine anything about the antenna. Resistance and reactance vary substantially over this frequency range, and the antenna appears to be resonant at 27.7, 29.0 and 29.8 MHz.

The plot in Fig 33B shows the true antenna imped-




Fig 33—Impedance plot of an inverted-V antenna cut for 29 MHz. At A, a plot of resistances and reactances, measured using the noise bridge, at the end of a 74-foot length of Columbia 1188 coaxial cable. At B, the actual antenna-impedance plot (found using the transmission-line equation to remove the effects of the transmission line).

ance. This plot has been corrected for the effects of the cable using the transmission-line equation. The true antenna resistance and reactance both increase smoothly with frequency. The antenna is resonant at 28.8 MHz, with a radiation resistance at resonance of 47 Ω . This is normal for an inverted V.

When doing the conversions, be careful not to make measurement errors. Such errors introduce more errors into

the corrected data. This problem is most significant when the transmission line is near an odd multiple of a $\frac{1}{4} \lambda$ and the line SWR and/or attenuation is high. Measurement errors are probably present if small changes in the input impedance or transmission-line characteristics appear as large changes in antenna impedance. If this effect is present, it can be minimized by making the measurements with a transmission line that is approximately an integer multiple of $\frac{1}{2} \lambda$.

A Practical Time-Domain Reflectometer

A time-domain reflectometer (TDR) is a simple but powerful tool used to evaluate transmission lines. When used with an oscilloscope, a TDR displays impedance “bumps” (open and short circuits, kinks and so on) in transmission lines. Commercially produced TDRs cost from hundreds to thousands of dollars each, but you can add the TDR described here to your shack for much less. This material is based on a *QST* article by Tom King, KD5HM (see Bibliography), and supplemented with information from the references.

How a TDR Works

A simple TDR consists of a square-wave generator and an oscilloscope. See **Fig 34**. The generator sends a train of dc pulses down a transmission line, and the oscilloscope lets you observe the incident and reflected waves from the pulses (when the scope is synchronized to the pulses).

A little analysis of the scope display tells the nature and location of any impedance changes along the line. The nature of an impedance disturbance is identified by comparing its pattern to those in **Fig 35**. The patterns are based on the fact that the reflected wave from a disturbance is determined by the incident-wave magnitude and the reflection coefficient of the disturbance. (The patterns shown neglect losses; actual patterns may vary somewhat from those shown.)

The location of a disturbance is calculated with a simple proportional method: The round-trip time (to the disturbance) can be read from the oscilloscope screen (graticule). Thus, you need only read the time, multiply it by the velocity of the radio wave (the speed of light adjusted by the velocity factor of the transmission line) and divide by two. The distance to a disturbance is given by:

$$\ell = \frac{983.6 \times \sqrt{VF} \times t}{2} \quad (\text{Eq 18})$$




Fig 34—The time-domain reflectometer shown here is attached to a small portable oscilloscope.

where

ℓ = line length in feet

VF = velocity factor of the transmission line (from 0 to 1.0)

t = time delay in microseconds (μ s).

The Circuit

The time-domain reflectometer circuit in **Fig 36** consists of a CMOS 555 timer configured as an astable multivibrator, followed by an MPS3646 transistor acting as a 15-ns-risetime buffer. The timer provides a 71-kHz square wave. This is applied to the 50- Ω transmission line under test (connected at J2). The oscilloscope is connected to the circuit at J1.

Construction

An etching pattern for the TDR is shown in **Fig 37**. **Fig 38** is the part-placement diagram. The TDR is




Fig 35—Characteristic TDR patterns for various loads. The location of the load can be calculated from the transit time, t , which is read from the oscilloscope (see text). R values can be calculated as shown (for purely resistive loads only— $\rho < 0$ when $R < Z_0$; $\rho > 0$ when $R > Z_0$). Values for reactive loads cannot be calculated simply.

designed for a $4 \times 3 \times 1$ -inch enclosure (including the batteries). SI, J1 and J2 are right-angle-mounted components. Two aspects of construction are critical. First use *only* an MPS3646 for Q1. This type was chosen for its good performance in this circuit. If you substitute another transistor, the circuit may not perform properly.

Second, for the TDR to provide accurate measurements, the cable connected to J1 (between the TDR and the oscilloscope) must not introduce impedance mismatches in the circuit. *Do not make this cable from ordi-*

nary coaxial cable. Oscilloscope-probe cable is the best thing to use for this connection.

(It took the author about a week and several phone calls to determine that scope-probe cable isn't "plain old coax." Probe cable has special characteristics that prevent undesired ringing and other problems.)

Mount a binding post at J1 and connect a scope probe to the binding post when testing cables with the TDR. R5 and C2 form a compensation network—much like the networks in oscilloscope probes—to adjust for effects of




Fig 36—Schematic diagram of the time-domain reflectometer. All resistors are $\frac{1}{4}$ -W, 5% tolerance. U1 is a CMOS 555 timer. Circuit current drain is 10 to 25 mA. When building the TDR, observe the construction cautions discussed in the text. C2 is available from Mouser Electronics, part no. ME242-8050. Right-angle BNC connectors for use at J1 and J2 can be obtained from Newark Electronics, part no. 89N1578. S1 can be obtained from All Electronics, part no. NISW-1. An SPST toggle switch can also be used at S1.




Fig 37—Full-size PC-board etching pattern for the TDR. Black areas represent unetched copper foil.




Fig 38—Part-placement diagram for the TDR. Parts are mounted on the nonfoil side of the board; the shaded area represents an X-ray view of the copper pattern. Be sure to observe the polarity markings of C3, C4 and C5.

the probe wire.

The TDR is designed to operate from dc between 3 and 9 V. Two C cells (in series—3 V) supply operating voltage in this version. The circuit draws only 10 to 25 mA, so the cells should last a long time (about 200 hours of operation). U1 can function with supply voltages as low as 2.25 to 2.5.

If you want to use the TDR in transmission-line systems with characteristic impedances other than 50 Ω ,

change the value of R_L to match the system impedance as closely as possible.

Calibrating and Using the TDR

Just about any scope with a bandwidth of at least 10 MHz should work fine with the TDR, but for tests in short-length cables, a 50-MHz scope provides for much more accurate measurements. To calibrate the TDR, terminate CABLE UNDER TEST connector, J2, with a 51- Ω




Fig 39—TDR calibration trace as shown on an oscilloscope. Adjust C2 (See Figs 36 and 38) for maximum deflection and sharpest waveform corners during calibration. See text.



Fig 41—TDR display of the impedance characteristics of the 142-foot Hardline run to the 432-MHz antenna at KD5HM. The scope is set for 0.05 ms per division. See text for discussion.




Fig 40—Open-circuited test cable. The scope is set for 0.01 ms per division. See text for interpretation of the waveform.

resistor. Connect the scope vertical input to J1. Turn on the TDR, and adjust the scope timebase so that one square-wave cycle from the TDR fills as much of the scope display as possible (without uncalibrating the timebase). The waveform should resemble **Fig 39**. Adjust C2 to obtain maximum amplitude and sharpest corners on the observed waveform. That's all there is to the calibration process!

To use the TDR, connect the cable under test to J2, and connect the scope vertical input to J1. If the waveform you observe is different from the one you observed during calibration, there are impedance variations in the load you're testing. See **Fig 40**, showing an unterminated test cable connected to the TDR. The beginning of the cable is shown at point A. (AB represents the TDR out-

put-pulse rise time.)

Segment AC shows the portion of the transmission line that has a 50-Ω impedance. Between points C and D, there is a mismatch in the line. Because the scope trace is higher than the 50-Ω trace, the impedance of this part of the line is higher than 50 Ω—in this case, an open circuit.

To determine the length of this cable, read the length of time over which the 50-Ω trace is displayed. The scope is set for 0.01 μs per division, so the time delay for the 50-Ω section is (0.01 μs × 4.6 divisions) = 0.046 μs. The manufacturer's specified velocity factor (VF) of the cable is 0.8. Eq 1 tells us that the 50-Ω section of the cable is

$$\ell = \frac{983.6 \times 0.8 \times 0.046 \mu\text{s}}{2} = 18.1 \text{ feet}$$

The TDR provides reasonable agreement with the actual cable length—in this case, the cable is really 16.5 feet long. (Variations in TDR-derived calculations and actual cable lengths can occur as a result of cable VFs that can vary considerably from published values. Many cables vary as much as 10% from the specified values.)

A second example is shown in **Fig 41**, where a length of 3/4-inch Hardline is being tested. The line feeds a 432-MHz vertical antenna at the top of a tower. **Fig 41** shows that the 50-Ω line section has a delay of (6.6 divisions × 0.05 μs) = 0.33 μs. Because the trace is straight and level at the 50-Ω level, the line is in good shape. The trailing edge at the right-hand end shows where the antenna is connected to the feed line.

To determine the actual length of the line, use the same procedure as before: Using the published VF for the Hardline (0.88) in Eq 1, the line length is

$$\ell = \frac{983.6 \times 0.88 \times 0.33 \mu\text{s}}{2} = 142.8 \text{ feet}$$

Again, the TDR-derived measurement is in close agreement with the actual cable length (142 feet).

Final Notes

The time-domain reflectometer described here is not frequency specific; its measurements are not made at the frequency at which a system is designed to be used. Because of this, the TDR cannot be used to verify the impedance of an antenna, nor can it be used to measure cable loss at a specific frequency. Just the same, in two years of use, it has never failed to help locate a transmission-line problem. The vast majority of transmission-line problems result from improper cable installation or connector weathering.

Limitations

Certain limitations are characteristic of TDRs because the signal used to test the line differs from the system operating frequency and because an oscilloscope is a broadband device. In the instrument described here, measurements are made with a 71-kHz square wave. That wave contains components at 71 kHz and odd harmonics thereof, with the majority of the energy coming from the lower frequencies. The leading edge of the trace indicates that the response drops quickly above 6 MHz. (The leading edge in Fig 40 is 0.042 μ s, corresponding to a period of 0.168 μ s and a frequency of 5.95 MHz.) The result is dc pulses of approximately 7 μ s duration. The

scope display combines the circuit responses to all of those frequencies. Hence, it may be difficult to interpret any disturbance which is narrowband in nature (affecting only a small range of frequencies, and thus a small portion of the total power), or for which the travel time plus pattern duration exceeds 7 μ s. The 432-MHz vertical antenna in Fig 41 illustrates a display error resulting from narrow-band response.

The antenna shows as a major impedance disturbance because it is mismatched at the low frequencies that dominate the TDR display, yet it is matched at 432 MHz. For an event that exceeds the observation window, consider a 1- μ F capacitor across a 50- Ω line. You would see only part of the pattern shown in Fig 35C because the time constant ($1 \times 10^{-6} \times 50 = 50$ ms) is much larger than the 7- μ s window.

In addition, TDRs are unsuitable for measurements where there are major impedance changes inside the line section to be tested. Such major changes mask reflections from additional changes farther down the line.

Because of these limitations, TDRs are best suited for spotting faults in dc-continuous systems that maintain a constant impedance from the generator to the load. Happily, most amateur stations would be ideal subjects for TDR analysis, which can conveniently check antenna cables and connectors for short and open-circuit conditions and locate the position of such faults with fair accuracy.

Ground Parameters for Antenna Analysis

This section is taken from an article in *The ARRL Antenna Compendium, Vol 5* by R. P. Haviland, W4MB. In the past, amateurs paid very little attention to the characteristics of the earth (ground) associated with their antennas. There are two reasons for this. First, these characteristics are not easy to measure—even with the best equipment, extreme care is needed. Second, almost all hams have to put up with what they have—there are very few who can afford to move because their location has poor ground conditions! Further, the ground is not a dominant factor in the most popular antennas—a tri-band Yagi at 40 feet or higher, or a 2-meter vertical at roof height, for example.

Even so, there has been a desire and even a need for ground data and for ways to use it. It is very important for vertically polarized antennas. Ground data is useful for antennas mounted at low heights generally, and for such specialized ones as Beverages. The performance of such antennas change a lot as the ground changes.

Importance of Ground Conditions

To see why ground conditions can be important, let us look at some values. For a frequency of 10 MHz, *CCIR Recommendation 368*, gives the distance at which the sig-

nal is calculated to drop 10 dB below its free-space level as:

Conductivity (mS/meter)	Distance for 10 dB Drop (km)
5000	100
30	15
3	0.3

The high-conductivity condition is for sea-water. Inter-island work in the Caribbean on 40 and 80 meters is easy, whereas 40-meter ground-wave contact is difficult for much of the USA, because of much lower ground conductivity. On the other hand, the Beverage works because of poor ground conductivity.

Fig 42 shows a typical set of expected propagation curves for a range of frequencies. This data is also from *CCIR Recommendation 368* for relatively poor ground, with a dielectric constant of 4 and a conductivity of 3 mS/m (one milliSiemens/meter is 0.001 mho/meter). The same data is available in the *Radio Propagation Handbook*. There are equivalent FCC curves, found in the book *Reference Data for Radio Engineers*, but only the ones near 160 meters are useful. In Florida the author has difficulty hearing stations across town on ground




Fig 42—Variation of field strength with distance. Typical field strengths for several frequencies are shown. This is from CCIR data for fairly poor soil, with dielectric constant of 4 and conductivity of 3 mS/m. The curves for good soil are closer to the free-space line, and those for sea water are much closer to the free-space line.

wave, an indication of the poor soil conditions—reflected sky-wave signals are often stronger.

Securing Ground Data

There are only two basic ways to approach this matter of ground data. One is to use generic ground data typical to the area. The second is to make measurements, which haven't really gotten easier. For most amateurs, the best approach seems to be a combination of these—use some simple measurements, and then use the generic data to make a better estimate. Because of equipment costs and measurement difficulties, none of these will be highly accurate for most hams. But they will be much better than simply taking some condition preset into an analysis program. Having a good set of values to plug into an analysis can help you evaluate the true worth of a new antenna project.

Generic Data

In connection with its licensing procedure for broadcast stations, the FCC has published generic data for the




Fig 43—Estimated effective ground conductivity in the United States. FCC map prepared for the Broadcast Service, showing typical conductivity for continental USA. Values are for the band 500 to 1500 KHz. Values are for flat, open spaces and often will not hold for other types of commonly found terrain, such as seashores, river beds, etc.

entire country. This is reproduced in **Fig 43**, a chart showing the “estimated effective ground conductivity in the United States.” A range of 30:1 is shown, from 1 to 30 mS/m. An equivalent chart for Canada has been prepared, originally by DOT, now DOC.

Of course, some judgment is needed when trying to use this data for your location. Broadcast stations are likely to be in open areas, so the data should not be assumed to apply to the center city. And a low site near the sea is likely to have better conductivity than the generic chart for, say, the coast of Oregon. Other than such factors, this chart gives a good first value, and a useful cross-check if some other method is used.

Still another FCC-induced data source is the license application of your local broadcast station. This includes calculated and measured coverage data. This may include specific ground data, or comparison of the coverage curves with the CCIR or FCC data to give the estimated ground conductivity. Another set of curves for ground conditions are those prepared by SRI. These give the conductivity and dielectric constant versus frequency for typical terrain conditions. These are reproduced as **Fig 44** and **Fig 45**. By inspecting your own site, you may select the curve most appropriate to your terrain. The curves are based on measurements at a number of sites across the USA, and are averages of the measured values.




Fig 44—Typical terrain conductivities versus frequency for 5 types of soils. This was measured by SRI. Units are mS/m. Conductivity of seawater is usually taken as 5000 mS/m. Conductivity of fresh water depends on the impurities present, and may be very low. To extrapolate conductivity values (for 500 to 1500 KHz) shown in Fig 43 for a particular geographic area to a different frequency, move from the conductivity at the left edge of Fig 44 to the desired frequency. For example, in rocky New Hampshire, with a conductivity of 1 mS/m at BC frequencies, the effective conductivity at 14 MHz would be approximately 4 mS/m.

Figs 46 through 48 are data derived from these measurements. **Fig 46** gives the ground-dissipation factor. Sea water has low loss (a high dissipation factor), while soil in the desert or in the city is very lossy, with a low dissipation factor. **Fig 47** gives the skin depth, the distance for the signal to decrease to 63% of its value at the surface. Penetration is low in high-conductivity areas and deep in low-conductivity soil. Finally, **Fig 48** shows the wavelength in the earth. For example, at 10 meters (30 MHz), the wavelength in sea water is less than 0.3 meters. Even in the desert, the wavelength has been reduced to about 6 meters at this frequency. This is one reason why buried antennas have peculiar properties. Lacking other data, it is suggested that the values of Figs 44 and 45 be used in computer antenna modeling programs.

Measuring Ground Conditions

W2FNQ developed a simple technique to measure low-frequency earth conductivity, which has been used by W2FMI. The test setup is drawn in **Fig 49**, and uses a very old technique of 4-terminal resistivity measurements. For probes of $\frac{9}{16}$ -inch diameter, spaced 18 inches and penetrating 12 inches into the earth, the conductivity is:

$$C = 21 V_1/V_2 \text{ mS/m} \quad (\text{Eq } 19)$$

The voltages are conveniently measured by a digital voltmeter, to an accuracy of about 2%. In soil suitable for farming, the probes can be copper or aluminum. The strength of iron or copperweld may be needed in hard soils. A piece of 2×4 or 4×4 with guide holes drilled through it will help maintain proper spacing and vertical alignment of the probes. Use care when measuring—there is a shock hazard. An isolating transformer with a 24-V secondary instead of 115 V will reduce the danger.

Ground conditions vary quite widely over even small




Fig 45—Typical terrain relative dielectric constant for the 5 soil types of Fig 44, plus sea water. The dashed curve shows the highest measured values reported, and usually indicates mineralization.




Fig 46—Typical values of dissipation factor. The soil behaves as a leaky dielectric. These curves showing the dimensionless dissipation factor versus frequency for various types of soils and for sea water. The dissipation factor is inversely related to soil conductivity. Among other things, a high dissipation factor indicates that a signal penetrating the soil or water will decrease in strength rapidly with depth.




Fig 48—Typical values of wavelength in soil. Because of its dielectric constant, the wavelength in soils and water will be shorter than that for a wave traveling in air. This can be important, since in a Method of Moment the accuracy is affected by the number of analysis segments per wavelength. Depending on the program being used, adjust the number of segments for antennas wholly or partly in the earth, for ground rods, and for antennas very close to earth.




Fig 47—Typical values of skin depth. The skin depth is the depth at which a signal will have decreased to 1/e of its value at the surface (to about 30%). The effective height above ground is essentially the same as the physical height for sea water, but may be much greater for the desert. For practical antennas, this may increase low-angle radiation, but at the same time will increase ground losses.




Fig 49—Low-frequency conductivity measurement system. A 60-Hz measuring system devised by W2FNQ and used by W2FMI. The basic system is widely used in geophysics. Use care to be certain that the plug connection is correct. A better system would use a lower voltage and an isolation transformer. Measure the value of V_2 with no power applied—there may be stray ground currents present, especially if there is a power station or an electric railway close.

areas. It is best to make a number of measurements around the area of the antenna, and average the measured values.

While this measurement gives only the low-frequency conductivity, it can be used to select curves in Fig 44 to give an estimate of the conductivity for the common ham bands. Assume that the 60 Hz value is valid at 2 MHz, and find the correct value on the left axis. Move parallel to the curves on the figure to develop the estimated curve for other soil conditions.

A small additional refinement is possible. If the dielectric constant from Fig 45 is plotted against the conductivity from Fig 44 for a given frequency, a scatter plot develops, showing a trend to higher dielectric constant as conductivity increases. At 14 MHz, the relation is:

$$k = \sqrt{1000/C} \quad (\text{Eq 20})$$

where k is the dielectric constant and C is the measured conductivity. Using these values in *MININEC* or *NEC* calculations should give better estimates than countrywide average values.

Direct Measurement of Ground Properties

For really good values, both the conductivity and dielectric constant should be measured at the operating frequency. One way of doing this is the two-probe technique described in George Hagn's article (see Bibliography). This was the technique used to secure the data for Figs 44 through 48. The principle is sketched in Fig 50. In essence, the two probes form a short, open-circuited, two-wire transmission line. As shown by the equations for such lines, the input impedance is a function of the conductivity and dielectric constant of the medium. A single measurement is difficult to calculate, since the end effect of the two probes must be determined, a complex task if they are pointed for easy driving. The calculation is greatly simplified if a set of measurements is made with several sets of probes that vary in length by a fixed ratio, since the measured difference is largely due to the increased two-wire length, with some change due to the change in soil moisture with depth.

The impedance to be measured is high because of the short line length, so impedance bridges are not really suitable. An RF vector impedance meter, such as the HP-4193A, is probably the best instrument to use, with a RF susceptance bridge, such as the GR-821A, next best. With care, a Q-meter can be substituted. Because of the rarity of these instruments among amateurs, this method of measurement is not explored further here.

Indirect Measurement

Since the terminal impedance and resonant frequency of an antenna change as the antenna approaches earth, measurement of an antenna at one or more heights permits an analysis of the ground characteristics. The technique is to calculate the antenna drive impedance for an assumed ground condition, and compare this with




Fig 50—High-frequency conductivity/dielectric constant measurement system. System for measuring ground conditions at frequencies up to about 100 MHz, devised by SRI and used to obtain the data in Figs 44 through 48. Basically, this is a section of transmission line with soil as the dielectric. Requires measurement of high impedances to good accuracy.

measured values. If not the same, another set of ground conditions is assumed, and the process is repeated. It is best to have a plan to guide the assumptions.

In connection with his studies of transmission lines, Walt Maxwell, W2DU, made such measurements on 20, 40 and 80 meters. Some of the data was included in his book *Reflections*. The following example is based on his 80-meter data. Data came from his Table 20-1, for a 66-foot, 2-inch dipole of #14 wire at 40 feet above ground. His table gives an antenna impedance of $72.59 + j 1.38 \Omega$ at 7.15 MHz.

Table 7 shows calculated antenna impedances for ground conductivities of three different ground conductivities: 10, 1 and 0.1 mS/m, and for dielectric constants of 3, 15 and 80. The nearest value to the measured drive impedance is for a conductivity of 0.1 mS/m and a dielectric constant of 3. Figs 44 and 45 indicate that these are typical of flat desert and city land. The effect on antenna performance is shown in Fig 51. The maximum lobe gain for soil typical of a city is over 2 dB lower than that for the high-conductivity, high-dielectric constant value. Note that the maximum lobe occurs for a radiation angle that is directly overhead.

Table 7

Calculated values of drive resistance, in ohms, for an 40 meter dipole at 40 feet elevation versus conductivity and dielectric constant.

Conductivity (mS/m)	3	Dielectric Constant 15	50
10	89.78 – j 12.12	88.53 – j 10.69	88.38 – j 7.59
1	80.05 – j 17.54	83.72 – j 10.23	87.33 – j 6.98
0.1	76.44 – j 15.69	83.18 – j 9.85	97.30 – j 6.46

The value measured by W2DU was $72.59 - j 1.28 \Omega$, and compares closest to the poor soil condition of dielectric constant of 3 and conductivity of 0.1 mS/m.




Fig 51—Plot showing computed elevation patterns for 40-foot high, 40-meter dipole for two different ground conditions: poor ground, with dielectric constant of 3 and conductivity of 0.1 mS/m, and good ground, with dielectric constant of 50 and conductivity of 10 mS/m. Note that for a low horizontal antenna, high-angle radiation is most affected by poor ground, with low-angle radiation least affected by ground characteristics.

The ground at the W2DU QTH is a suburban Florida lot, covered with low, native vegetation. The ground is very sandy (a fossil sand dune), and is some 60-70 feet above sea-level. Measurements were made near the end of the Florida dry season. The water table is estimated to be 20 to 30 feet below the surface. Thus the calculated and measured values are reasonably consistent.

In principle, a further analysis, using values around 0.1 mS/m conductivity and 3 for dielectric constant, will give a better ground parameter estimate. However, the results should be taken with a grain of salt, because the

opportunities for error in the computer modeling must be considered. The antenna should have no sag, and its length and height should be accurate. The measurement must be with accurate equipment, free from strays, such as current on the outer conductor of the coax. The feed-point gap effect must be estimated. Further, the ground itself under the antenna must be flat and have constant characteristics for modeling to be completely accurate.

Finally, the feed-line length and velocity constant of the transmission line must be accurately measured for transfer of the measured values at the feeding end of the transmission line to the antenna itself. Because of all the possibilities for error, most attempts at precision should be based on measured values at two or three frequencies, and preferably at two or three heights. Orienting the antenna to right angles for another set of measurements may be useful. Obviously, this can involve a lot of detailed work.

The author was not been able to find any guidelines for the best height or frequency. The data in the book *Exact Image Method for Impedance Computation of Antennas Above the Ground* suggests that a height of 0.3λ will give good sensitivity to ground conditions. Very low heights may give confusing results, since several combinations of ground parameters can give nearly the same drive impedance. Both this data and experience suggest that sensitivity to ground for heights above 0.75λ is small or negligible.

If an overall conclusion about ground characteristics is needed, we can just restate from the first paragraph—it is not greatly important for the most common horizontally polarized antenna installations. But it's worth taking a look when you need to depart from typical situations, or when the performance of a vertically polarized antenna is contemplated. Then the techniques outlined here can be helpful.

A Switchable RF Attenuator

A switchable RF attenuator is helpful for making antenna-gain comparisons or for plotting antenna radiation patterns. You may switch attenuation in or out of the line leading to the receiver to obtain an initial reference reading on a signal strength meter. Some form of attenuator is also helpful for locating hidden transmitters, where the real trick is pinpointing the signal source from within a few hundred feet. At such a close distance, strong signals may overload the front end of the receiver, making it impossible to obtain any indication of a bearing.

The attenuator of **Figs 52 and 53** is designed for low power levels, not exceeding $\frac{1}{4}$ watt. If for some reason the attenuator will be connected to a transceiver, a means of bypassing the unit during transmit periods must be devised. An attenuator of this type is commonly called a *step attenuator*, because any amount of attenuation from 0 dB to the maximum available (81 dB for this particular instrument) may be obtained in steps of 1 dB. As each switch is successively thrown from the OUT to the IN

position, the attenuation sections add in cascade to yield the total of the attenuator steps switched in. The maximum attenuation of any single section is limited to 20 dB because leak-through would probably degrade the accuracy of higher values. The tolerance of resistor values also becomes more significant regarding accuracy at higher attenuation values.

A good quality commercially made attenuator will cost upwards from \$150, but for less than \$25 in parts and a few hours of work, you can build an attenuator at home. It will be suitable for frequencies up to 450 MHz. Double-sided pc board is used for the enclosure. The version of the attenuator shown in Fig 52 has identification lettering etched into the top surface (or front panel) of the unit. This adds a nice touch and is a permanent means of labeling. Of course rub-on transfers or Dymo tape labels could be used as well.

Female BNC single-hole, chassis-mount connectors are used at each end of the enclosure. These connectors




Fig 52—A construction method for a step attenuator. Double-sided circuit-board material, unetched (except for panel identification), is cut to the desired size and soldered in place. Flashing copper may also be used, although it is not as sturdy. Shielding partitions between sections are necessary to reduce signal leakage. Brass nuts soldered at each of the four corners allow machine screws to secure the bottom cover. The practical limit for total attenuation is 80 or 90 dB, as signal leakage around the outside of the attenuator will defeat attempts to obtain much greater amounts.




Fig 53—Schematic diagram of the step attenuator, designed for a nominal impedance of 50 Ω . Resistance values are in ohms. Resistors are $\frac{1}{4}$ -watt, carbon-composition types, 5% tolerance. Broken lines indicate walls of circuit-board material. A small hole is drilled through each partition wall to route bus wire. Keep all leads as short as possible. The attenuator is bilateral; that is, the input and output ends may be reversed.

J1, J2—Female BNC connectors, Radio Shack 278-105 or equiv.

S1-S8, incl.—DPDT slide switches, standard size. (Avoid subminiature or toggle switches.) Stackpole S-5022CD03-0 switches are used here.

provide a means of easily connecting and disconnecting the attenuator.

Construction

After all the box parts are cut to size and the necessary holes made, scribe light lines to locate the inner partitions. Carefully tack-solder all partitions in position. A 25-W pencil type of iron should provide sufficient heat. Dress any pc board parts that do not fit squarely. Once everything is in proper position, run a solder bead all the way around the joints. Caution! Do not use excessive amounts of solder, as the switches must later be fit flat inside the sections. Complete the top, sides, ends and partitions. Dress the outside of the box to suit your taste. For instance, you might wish to bevel the box edges. Buff the copper with steel wool, add lettering, and finish off the work with a coat of clear lacquer or polyurethane varnish.

Using a little lacquer thinner, soak the switches to remove the grease that was added during their manufacture. When they dry, spray the inside of the switches lightly with a TV tuner cleaner/lubricant. Use a sharp drill bit (about $\frac{3}{16}$ inch will do), and countersink the mounting holes on the actuator side of the switch mounting plate. This ensures that the switches will fit flush against the top plate. At one end of each switch, bend the two lugs over and solder them together. Cut off the upper halves of the remaining switch lugs. (A close look at Fig 52 will help clarify these steps.)

Solder the series-arm resistors between the appro-

priate switch lugs. Keep the lead lengths as short as possible and do not overheat the resistors. Now solder the switches in place to the top section of the enclosure by flowing solder through the mounting holes and onto the circuit-board material. Be certain that you place the switches in their proper positions; correlate the resistor values with the degree of attenuation. Otherwise, you may wind up with the 1-dB step at the wrong end of the box how embarrassing!

Once the switches are installed, thread a piece of #18 bare copper wire through the center lugs of all the switches, passing it through the holes in the partitions. Solder the wire at each switch terminal. Cut the wire between the poles of each individual switch, leaving the wire connecting one switch pole to that of the neighboring one on the other side of the partition, as shown in Fig 52. At each of the two end switch terminals, leave a wire length of approximately $\frac{1}{8}$ inch. Install the BNC connectors and solder the wire pieces to the connector center conductors.

Now install the shunt-arm resistors of each section. Use short lead lengths. Do not use excessive amounts of heat when soldering. Solder a no. 4-40 brass nut at each inside corner of the enclosure. Recess the nuts approximately $\frac{1}{16}$ -inch from the bottom edge of the box to allow sufficient room for the bottom panel to fit flush. Secure the bottom panel with four no. 4-40, $\frac{1}{4}$ -inch machine screws and the project is completed. Remember to use caution, always, when your test setup provides the possibility of transmitting power into the attenuator.

A Portable Field Strength Meter

Few amateur stations, fixed or mobile, are without need of a field-strength meter. An instrument of this type serves many useful purposes during antenna experiments and adjustments. When work is to be done from many wavelengths away, a simple wavemeter lacks the necessary sensitivity. Further, such a device has a serious fault because its linearity leaves much to be desired. The information in this section is based on a January 1973 *QST* article by Lew McCoy, W1ICP.

The field-strength meter described here takes care of these problems. Additionally, it is small, measuring only $4 \times 5 \times 8$ inches. The power supply consists of two 9-volt batteries. Sensitivity can be set for practically any amount desired. However, from a usefulness standpoint, the circuit should not be too sensitive or it will respond to unwanted signals. This unit also has excellent linearity with regard to field strength. (The field strength of a received signal varies inversely with the distance from the source, all other things being equal.) The frequency range includes all amateur bands from 3.5 through




Fig 54—The linear field strength meter. The control at the upper left is for C1 and the one to the right for C2. At the lower left is the band switch, and to its right the sensitivity switch. The zero-set control for M1 is located directly below the meter.




Fig 55—Inside view of the field-strength meter. At the upper right is C1 and to the left, C2. The dark leads from the circuit board to the front panel are the shielded leads described in the text.

148 MHz, with band-switched circuits, thus avoiding the use of plug-in inductors. All in all, it is a quite useful instrument.

The unit is pictured in **Figs 54 and 55**, and the schematic diagram is shown in **Fig 56**. A type 741 op-amp IC is the heart of the unit. The antenna is connected to J1, and a tuned circuit is used ahead of a diode detector. The rectified signal is coupled as dc and amplified in the op amp. Sensitivity of the op amp is controlled by inserting resistors R3 through R6 in the circuit by means of S2.

With the circuit shown, and in its most sensitive setting, M1 will detect a signal from the antenna on the order of 100 μ V. Linearity is poor for approximately the first $\frac{1}{5}$ of the meter range, but then is almost straight-line from there to full-scale deflection. The reason for the poor linearity at the start of the readings is because of non-linearity of the diodes at the point of first conduction. However, if gain measurements are being made this is of no real importance, as accurate gain measurements can be made in the linear portion of the readings.




Fig 56—Circuit diagram of the linear field strength meter. All resistors are $\frac{1}{4}$ - or $\frac{1}{2}$ -W composition types.

C1 — 140 pF variable.

C2 — 15-pF variable

D1, D2 — 1N914 or equiv.

L1 — 34 turns #24 enam. wire wound on an Amidon

T-68-2 core, tapped 4 turns from ground end.

L2 — 12 turns #24 enam. wire wound on T-68-2 core.

L3 — 2 turns #24 enam. wire wound at ground end of L2.

L4 — 1 turn #26 enam. wire wound at ground end of L5.

L5 — 12 turns #26 enam. wire wound on T-25-12 core.

L6 — 1 turn #26 enam. wire wound at ground end of L7.

L7 — 1 turn #18 enam. wire wound on T-25-12 core.

M1 — 50 or 100 μ A dc.

R2 — 10-k Ω control, linear taper.

S1 — Rotary switch, 3 poles, 5 positions, 3 sections.

S2 — Rotary switch, 1 pole, 4 positions.

S3 — DPST toggle.

U1 — Type 741 op amp. Pin numbers shown are for a 14-pin package.

The 741 op amp requires both a positive and a negative voltage source. This is obtained by connecting two 9-volt batteries in series and grounding the center. One other feature of the instrument is that it can be used remotely by connecting an external meter at J2. This is handy if you want to adjust an antenna and observe the results without having to leave the antenna site.

L1 is the 3.5/7 MHz coil and is tuned by C1. The coil is wound on a toroid form. For 14, 21 or 28 MHz, L2 is switched in parallel with L1 to cover the three bands. L5 and C2 cover approximately 40 to 60 MHz, and L7 and C2 from 130 MHz to approximately 180 MHz. The two VHF coils are also wound on toroid forms.

Construction Notes

The majority of the components may be mounted on an etched circuit board. A shielded lead should be used between pin 4 of the IC and S2. The same is true for the leads from R3 through R6 to the switch. Otherwise, parasitic oscillations may occur in the IC because of its very high gain.

In order for the unit to cover the 144-MHz band, L6 and L7 should be mounted directly across the appropriate terminals of S1, rather than on a circuit board. The extra lead length adds too much stray capacitance to the circuit. It isn't necessary to use toroid forms for the 50- and 144-MHz coils. They were used in the version described here simply because they were available. You may substitute air-wound coils of the appropriate inductance.

Calibration

The field strength meter can be used *as is* for a relative-reading device. A linear indicator scale will serve admirably. However, it will be a much more useful instrument for antenna work if it is calibrated in decibels, enabling the user to check relative gain and front-to-back ratios. If you have access to a calibrated signal generator, connect it to the field-strength meter and use different signal levels fed to the device to make a calibration chart. Convert signal-generator voltage ratios to decibels by using the equation

$$\text{dB} = 20 \log (V1/V2) \quad (\text{Eq 21})$$

where

V1/V2 is the ratio of the two voltages

log is the common logarithm (base 10)

Let's assume that M1 is calibrated evenly from 0 to 10. Next, assume we set the signal generator to provide a reading of 1 on M1, and that the generator is feeding a 100- μV signal into the instrument. Now we increase the generator output to 200 μV , giving us a voltage ratio of 2:1. Also let's assume M1 reads 5 with the 200- μV input. From the equation above, we find that the voltage ratio of 2 equals 6.02 dB between 1 and 5 on the meter scale. M1 can be calibrated more accurately between 1 and 5 on its scale by adjusting the generator and figuring the ratio. For example, a ratio of 126 μV to 100 μV is 1.26, corresponding to 2.0 dB. By using this method, all of the settings of S2 can be calibrated. In the instrument shown here, the most sensitive setting of S2 with R3, 1 M Ω , provides a range of approximately 6 dB for M1. Keep in mind that the meter scale for each setting of S1 must be calibrated similarly for each band. The degree of coupling of the tuned circuits for the different bands will vary, so each band must be calibrated separately.

Another method for calibrating the instrument is using a transmitter and measuring its output power with an RF wattmeter. In this case we are dealing with power rather than voltage ratios, so this equation applies:

$$\text{dB} = 10 \log (P1/P2) \quad (\text{Eq 22})$$

where P1/P2 is the power ratio.

With most transmitters the power output can be varied, so calibration of the test instrument is rather easy. Attach a pickup antenna to the field-strength meter (a short wire a foot or so long will do) and position the device in the transmitter antenna field. Let's assume we set the transmitter output for 10 W and get a reading on M1. We note the reading and then increase the output to 20 W, a power ratio of 2. Note the reading on M1 and then use Eq 2. A power ratio of 2 is 3.01 dB. By using this method the instrument can be calibrated on all bands and ranges.

With the tuned circuits and coupling links specified in Fig 56, this instrument has an average range on the various bands of 6 dB for the two most sensitive positions of S2, and 15 dB and 30 dB for the next two successive ranges. The 30-dB scale is handy for making front-to-back antenna measurements without having to switch S2.

An RF Current Probe

The RF current probe of **Figs 57 through 59** operates on the magnetic component of the electromagnetic field, rather than the electric field. Since the two fields are precisely related, as discussed in Chapter 23, the relative field strength measurements are completely equivalent. The use of the magnetic field offers certain advantages, however. The instrument may be made more compact for the same sensitivity, but its principal advantage is that it may be used near a conductor to measure the current flow without cutting the conductor.

In the average amateur location there may be substantial currents flowing in guy wires, masts and towers, coaxial-cable braids, gutters and leaders, water and gas pipes, and perhaps even drainage pipes. Current may be

flowing in telephone and power lines as well. All of these RF currents may have an influence on antenna patterns or can be of significance in the case of RFI.

The circuit diagram of the current probe appears in Fig 58, and construction is shown in the photo, Fig 59. The winding data given here apply only to a ferrite rod of the particular dimensions and material specified. Almost any microammeter can be used, but it is usually convenient to use a rather sensitive meter and provide a series resistor to swamp out nonlinearity arising from diode conduction characteristics. A control is also used to adjust instrument sensitivity as required during operation. The tuning capacitor may be almost anything that will cover the desired range.



Fig 57—The RF current probe. The sensitivity control is mounted at the top of the instrument, with the tuning and band switches on the lower portion of the front panel. Frequency calibration of the tuning control was not considered necessary for the intended use of this particular instrument, but marks identifying the various amateur bands would be helpful. If the unit is provided with a calibrated dial, it can also be used as an absorption wavemeter.




Fig 58—Schematic diagram of the RF current probe. Resistances are in ohms; k = 1000. Capacitances are in picofarads; fixed capacitors are silver mica. Be sure to ground the rotor of C1, rather than the stator, to avoid hand capacitance. L1, L2 and L3 are each close-wound with #22 enameled wire on a single ferrite rod, 4 inch long and 1/2 inch diameter, with $\mu = 125$ (Amidon R61-50-400). Windings are spaced approximately 1/4 inch apart.

C1—Air variable, 6-140 pF; Hammarlund HF140 or equiv.

D1—Germanium diode; 1N34A, 1N270 or equiv.

L1—1.6-5 MHz; 30 turns, tapped at 3 turns from grounded end.

L2—5-20 MHz; 8 turns, tapped at 2 turns from grounded end.

L3—17-39 MHz; 2 turns, tapped at 1 turn.

M1—Any microammeter may be used. The one pictured is a Micronta meter, RadioShack no. 270-1751.

R1—Linear taper.

RFC1—1 mH; Miller no. 4642 or equiv. Value is not critical.

S1—Ceramic rotary switch, 1 section, 2 poles, 2 to 6 positions; Centralab PA2002 or PA2003 or equiv.




Fig 59—The current probe just before final assembly. Note that all parts except the ferrite rod are mounted on a single half of the 3 × 4 × 5-inch Minibox (Bud CU-2105B or equiv.). Rubber grommets are fitted in holes at the ends of the slot to accept the rod during assembly of the enclosure. Leads in the RF section should be kept as short as possible, although those from the rod windings must necessarily be left somewhat long to facilitate final assembly.

As shown in the photos, the circuit is constructed in a metal box. This enclosure shields the detector circuit from the electric field of the radio wave. A slot must be cut with a hacksaw across the back of the box, and a thin file may be used to smooth the cut. This slot is necessary to prevent the box from acting as a shorted turn.

Antenna Measurements

Of all the measurements made in Amateur Radio systems, perhaps the most difficult and least understood are various measurements of antennas. For example, it is relatively easy to measure the frequency and CW power output of a transmitter, the response of a filter, or the gain of an amplifier. These are all what might be called *bench measurements* because, when performed properly, all the factors that influence the accuracy and success of the measurement are under control. In making antenna measurements, however, the “bench” is probably your backyard. In other words, the environment surrounding the antenna can affect the results of the measurement.

Control of the environment is not at all as simple as it was for the bench measurement, because now the work area may be rather spacious. This section describes antenna measurement techniques that are closely allied to those used in an antenna measuring event or contest. With these procedures you can make measurements successfully and with meaningful results. These techniques should provide a better understanding of the measure-

Using the Probe

In measuring the current in a conductor, the ferrite rod should be kept at right angles to the conductor, and at a constant distance from it. In its upright or vertical position, this instrument is oriented for taking measurements in vertical conductors. It must be laid horizontal to measure current in horizontal conductors.

Numerous uses for the instrument are suggested in an earlier paragraph. In addition, the probe is an ideal instrument for checking the current distribution in antenna elements. It is also useful for measuring RF ground currents in radial systems. A buried radial may be located easily by sweeping the ground. Current division at junctions may be investigated. *Hot spots* usually indicate areas where additional radials would be effective.

Stray currents in conductors not intended to be part of the antenna system may often be eliminated by bonding or by changing the physical lengths involved. Guy wires and other unwanted parasitic elements will often give a tilt to the plane of polarization and can make a marked difference in front-to-back ratios. When the ferrite rod is oriented parallel to the electric field lines, there will be a sharp null reading that may be used to locate the plane of polarization quite accurately. When using the meter, remember that the magnetic field is at right angles to the electric field.

You may also use the current probe as a relative signal strength meter. When making measurements on a vertical antenna, locate the meter at least two wavelengths away, with the rod in a horizontal position. For horizontal antennas, hold the instrument at approximately the same height as the antenna, with the rod vertical.

ment problems, resulting in a more accurate and less difficult task. The information in this section was provided by Dick Turrin, W2IMU, and was originally published in November 1974 *QST*.

SOME BASIC IDEAS

An antenna is simply a transducer or coupler between a suitable feed line and the environment surrounding it. In addition to the efficient transfer of power from feed line to environment, an antenna at VHF or UHF is most frequently required to concentrate the radiated power into a particular region of the environment.

To be consistent while comparing different antennas, you must standardize the environment surrounding the antenna. Ideally, you want to make measurements with the measured antenna so far removed from any objects causing environmental effects that it is literally in outer space—a very impractical situation. The purpose of the measurement techniques is therefore to simulate, under practical conditions, a *controlled environment*. At VHF

and UHF, and with practical-size antennas, the environment can be controlled so that successful and accurate measurements can be made in a reasonable amount of space.

The electrical characteristics of an antenna that are most desirable to obtain by direct measurement are: (1) gain (relative to an isotropic source, which by definition has a gain of unity); (2) space-radiation pattern; (3) feed-point impedance (mismatch) and (4) polarization.

Polarization

In general the polarization can be assumed from the geometry of the radiating elements. That is to say, if the antenna consists of a number of linear elements (straight lengths of rod or wire that are resonant and connected to the feed point) the polarization of the electric field will be linear and polarized parallel to the elements. If the elements are not consistently parallel with each other, then the polarization cannot easily be assumed. The following techniques are directed to antennas having polarization that is essentially linear (in one plane), although the method can be extended to include all forms of elliptic (or mixed) polarization.

Feed-Point Mismatch

The feed-point mismatch, although affected to some degree by the immediate environment of the antenna, does not affect the gain or radiation characteristics of an antenna. If the immediate environment of the antenna does not affect the feed-point impedance, then any mismatch intrinsic to the antenna tuning reflects a portion of the incident power back to the source. In a receiving antenna this reflected power is reradiated back into the environment, and can be lost entirely.

In a transmitting antenna, the reflected power travels back down the feed line to the transmitter, where it changes the load impedance presented to that transmitter. The amplifier output controls are customarily altered during the normal tuning procedure to obtain maximum power transfer to the antenna. You can still use a mismatched antenna to its full gain potential, provided the mismatch is not so severe as to cause heating losses in the system, especially the feed line and matching devices. (See also the discussion of additional loss caused by SWR in Chapter 24.)

Similarly, a mismatched receiving antenna may be matched into the receiver front end for maximum power transfer. In any case you should clearly keep in mind that the feed-point mismatch does not affect the radiation characteristics of an antenna. It can only affect the system efficiency when heating losses are considered.

Why then do we include feed-point mismatch as part of the antenna characteristics? The reason is that for efficient system performance, most antennas are resonant transducers and present a reasonable match over a relatively narrow frequency range. It is therefore desirable to

design an antenna, whether it be a simple dipole or an array of Yagis, such that the final single feed-point impedance is essentially resistive and matched to the feed line. Furthermore, in order to make accurate, absolute gain measurements, it is vital that the antenna under test accept all the power from a matched-source generator, or that the reflected power caused by the mismatch be measured and a suitable error correction for heating losses be included in the gain calculations. Heating losses may be determined from information contained in Chapter 24.

While on the subject of feed-point impedance, mention should be made of the use of *baluns* in antennas. A balun is simply a device that permits a lossless transition between a balanced system feed line or antenna and an unbalanced feed line or system. If the feed point of an antenna is symmetric, such as with a dipole, and it is desired to feed this antenna with an unbalanced feed line such as coax, you should provide a balun between the line and the feed point. Without the balun, current will be allowed to flow on the outside of the coax. The current on the outside of the feed line will cause radiation, and thus the feed line will become part of the antenna radiation system. In the case of beam antennas, where it is desired to concentrate the radiated energy in a specific direction, this extra radiation from the feed line will be detrimental, causing distortion of the expected antenna pattern. See Chapter 26 for additional details on this problem.

ANTENNA TEST SITE SET-UP AND EVALUATION

Since an antenna is a reciprocal device, measurements of gain and radiation patterns can be made with the test antenna used either as a transmitting or as a receiving antenna. In general and for practical reasons, the test antenna is used in the receiving mode, and the source or transmitting antenna is located at a specified fixed remote site and unattended. In other words the source antenna, energized by a suitable transmitter, is simply required to illuminate or flood the receiving site in a controlled and constant manner.

As mentioned earlier, antenna measurements ideally should be made under free-space conditions. A further restriction is that the illumination from the source antenna be a *plane wave* over the effective aperture (capture area) of the test antenna. A plane wave by definition is one in which the magnitude and phase of the fields are uniform, and in the test-antenna situation, *uniform over the effective area plane of the test antenna*. Since it is the nature of all radiation to expand in a spherical manner at great distance from the source, it would seem to be most desirable to locate the source antenna as far from the test site as possible. However, since for practical reasons the test site and source location will have to be near the earth and not in outer space, the environment must include the effects of the ground surface and other obstacles in the vicinity of both antennas. These effects almost always dictate that the test range (spacing between source and

test antennas) be as short as possible consistent with maintaining a nearly error-free plane wave illuminating the test aperture.

A nearly error-free plane wave can be specified as one in which the phase and amplitude, from center to edge of the illuminating field over the test aperture, do not deviate by more than about 30° and 1 decibel, respectively. These conditions will result in a gain-measurement error of no more than a few percent less than the true gain. Based on the 30° phase error alone, it can be shown that the minimum range distance is approximately

$$S_{\min} = 2 \frac{D^2}{\lambda} \quad (\text{Eq 23})$$

where D is the largest aperture dimension and λ is the free-space wavelength in the same units as D . The phase error over the aperture D for this condition is $1/16$ wavelength.

Since aperture size and gain are related by

$$\text{Gain} = \frac{4\pi A_e}{\lambda^2} \quad (\text{Eq 24})$$

where A_e is the effective aperture area, the dimension D may be obtained for simple aperture configurations. For a square aperture

$$D_2 = G \frac{\lambda^2}{4\pi} \quad (\text{Eq 25})$$

that results in a minimum range distance for a square aperture of

$$S_{\min} = G \frac{\lambda}{2\pi} \quad (\text{Eq 26})$$

and for a circular aperture of

$$S_{\min} = G \frac{2\lambda}{\pi^2} \quad (\text{Eq 27})$$

For apertures with a physical area that is not well defined or is much larger in one dimension than in other directions, such as a long thin array for maximum directivity in one plane, it is advisable to use the maximum estimate of D from either the expected gain or physical aperture dimensions.

Up to this point in the range development, only the conditions for minimum range length, S_{\min} , have been established, as though the ground surface were not present. This minimum S is therefore a necessary condition even under free-space environment. The presence of the ground further complicates the range selection, not in the determination of S but in the exact location of the source and test antennas above the earth.

It is always advisable to select a range whose intervening terrain is essentially flat, clear of obstructions, and of uniform surface conditions, such as all grass or all pavement. The extent of the range is determined by the illumi-




Fig 60—On an antenna test range, energy reaching the receiving equipment may arrive after being reflected from the surface of the ground, as well as by the direct path. The two waves may tend to cancel each other, or may reinforce one another, depending on their phase relationship at the receiving point.

nation of the source antenna, usually a Yagi, whose gain is no greater than the highest gain antenna to be measured. For gain measurements the range consists essentially of the region in the beam of the test antenna. For radiation-pattern measurements, the range is considerably larger and consists of all that area illuminated by the source antenna, especially around and behind the test site. Ideally you should choose a site where the test-antenna location is near the center of a large open area and the source antenna is located near the edge where most of the obstacles (trees, poles, fences, etc.) lie.

The primary effect of the range surface is that some of the energy from the source antenna will be reflected into the test antenna, while other energy will arrive on a direct line-of-sight path. This is illustrated in **Fig 60**. The use of a flat, uniform ground surface assures that there will be essentially a mirror reflection, even though the reflected energy may be slightly weakened (absorbed) by the surface material (ground). In order to perform an analysis you should realize that horizontally polarized waves undergo a 180° phase reversal upon reflection from the earth. The resulting illumination amplitude at any point in the test aperture is the vector sum of the electric fields arriving from the two directions, the direct path and the reflected path.

If a perfect mirror reflection is assumed from the ground (it is nearly that for practical ground conditions at VHF/UHF) and the source antenna is isotropic, radiating equally in all directions, then a simple geometric analysis of the two path lengths will show that at various point in the vertical plane at the test-antenna site the waves will combine in different phase relationships. At some points the arriving waves will be in phase, and at other points they will be 180° out of phase. Since the field amplitudes are nearly equal, the resulting phase change caused by path length difference will produce an amplitude variation in the vertical test site direction similar to




Fig 61—The vertical profile, or plot of signal strength versus test-antenna height, for a fixed height of the signal source above ground and at a fixed distance. See text for definitions of symbols.

a standing wave, as shown in **Fig 61**.

The simplified formula relating the location of h_2 for maximum and minimum values of the two-path summation in terms of h_1 and S is

$$h_2 = n \frac{\lambda}{4} \times \frac{S}{h_1} \quad (\text{Eq 28})$$

with $n = 0, 2, 4, \dots$ for minimums and $n = 1, 3, 5, \dots$ for maximums, and S is much larger than either h_1 or h_2 .

The significance of this simple ground reflection formula is that it permits you to determine the approximate location of the source antenna to achieve a nearly plane-wave amplitude distribution *in the vertical direction* over a particular test *aperture size*. It should be clear from examination of the height formula that as h_1 is decreased, the vertical distribution pattern of signal at the test site, h_2 , expands. Also note that the signal level for h_2 equal to zero is always zero on the ground regardless of the height of h_1 .

The objective in using the height formula then is, given an effective antenna aperture to be illuminated from which a minimum S (range length) is determined and a suitable range site chosen, to find a value for h_1 (source antenna height). The required value is such that the *first* maximum of vertical distribution at the test site, h_2 , is at a practical distance above the ground, and at the same time the signal amplitude over the aperture in the vertical direction does not vary more than about 1 dB. This last condition is not sacred but is closely related to the particular antenna under test.

In practice these formulas are useful only to initialize the range setup. A final check of the vertical distribution at the test site must be made by direct measurement. This measurement should be conducted with a small low-gain but unidirectional probe antenna such as a corner reflector or 2-element Yagi that you move along a verti-

cal line over the intended aperture site. Care should be exercised to minimize the effects of local environment around the probe antenna and that the beam of the probe be directed at the source antenna at all times for maximum signal. A simple dipole is undesirable as a probe antenna because it is susceptible to local environmental effects.

The most practical way to instrument the vertical distribution measurement is to construct some kind of vertical track, preferably of wood, with a sliding carriage or platform that may be used to support and move the probe antenna. It is assumed of course that a stable source transmitter and calibrated receiver or detector are available so variations of the order of $1/2$ dB can be clearly distinguished.

Once you conduct these initial range measurements successfully, the range is now ready to accommodate any aperture size less in vertical extent than the largest for which S_{\min} and the vertical field distribution were selected. Place the test antenna with the center of its aperture at the height h_2 where maximum signal was found. Tilt the test antenna tilted so that its main beam is pointed in the direction of the source antenna. The final tilt is found by observing the receiver output for maximum signal. This last process must be done empirically since the apparent location of the source is somewhere between the actual source and its image, below the ground.

An example will illustrate the procedure. Assume that we wish to measure a 7-foot diameter parabolic reflector antenna at 1296 MHz ($\lambda = 0.75$ foot). The minimum range distance, S_{\min} , can be readily computed from the formula for a circular aperture.

$$S_{\min} = 2 \frac{D^2}{\lambda} = 2 \times \frac{49}{0.75} = 131 \text{ feet}$$

Now a suitable site is selected based on the qualitative discussion given before.

Next determine the source height, h_1 . The procedure is to choose a height h_1 such that the first minimum above ground ($n = 2$ in formula) is at least two or three times the aperture size, or about 20 feet.

$$h_1 = n \frac{\lambda S}{4 h_2} = 2 \times \frac{0.75}{4} \times \frac{131}{20} = 2.5 \text{ feet}$$

Place the source antenna at this height and probe the vertical distribution over the 7-foot aperture location, which will be about 10 feet off the ground.

$$h_2 = n \frac{\lambda S}{4 h_1} = 1 \times \frac{0.75}{4} \times \frac{131}{2.5} = 9.8 \text{ feet}$$

Plot the measured profile of vertical signal level versus height. From this plot, empirically determine whether the 7-foot aperture can be fitted in this profile such that the 1-dB variation is not exceeded. If the variation exceeds 1 dB over the 7-foot aperture, the source antenna should




Fig 62—Sample plot of a measured vertical profile.

be lowered and h_2 raised. Small changes in h_1 can quickly alter the distribution at the test site. **Fig 62** illustrates the points of the previous discussion.

The same set-up procedure applies for either horizontal or vertical linear polarization. However, it is advisable to check by direct measurement at the site for each polarization to be sure that the vertical distribution is satisfactory. Distribution probing in the horizontal plane is unnecessary as little or no variation in amplitude should be found, since the reflection geometry is constant.

Because of this, antennas with apertures that are long and thin, such as a stacked collinear vertical, should be measured with the long dimension parallel to the ground.

A particularly difficult range problem occurs in measurements of antennas that have depth as well as cross-sectional aperture area. Long end-fire antennas such as long Yagis, rhombics, V-beams, or arrays of these antennas, radiate as *volumetric arrays* and it is therefore even more essential that the illuminating field from the source antenna be reasonably uniform in depth as well as plane wave in cross section. For measuring these types of antennas it is advisable to make several vertical profile measurements that cover the depth of the array. A qualitative check on the integrity of the illumination for long end-fire antennas can be made by moving the array or antenna axially (forward and backward) and noting the change in received signal level. If the signal level varies less than 1 or 2 dB for an axial movement of several wavelengths then the field can be considered satisfactory for most demands on accuracy. Large variations indicate that the illuminating field is badly distorted over the array depth and subsequent measurements are questionable. It is interesting to note in connection with gain measurements that any illuminating field distortion will always result in measurements that are lower than true values.

ABSOLUTE GAIN MEASUREMENT

Having established a suitable range, the measurement of gain relative to an isotropic (point source) radi-




Fig 63—Standard-gain antenna. When accurately constructed for the desired frequency, this antenna will exhibit a gain of 7.7 dB over a dipole radiator, plus or minus 0.25 dB. In this model, constructed for 432 MHz, the elements are $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch diameter tubing. The phasing and support lines are of $\frac{5}{16}$ -inch diameter tubing or rod.

tor is almost always accomplished by direct comparison with a calibrated standard-gain antenna. That is, the signal level with the test antenna in its optimum location is noted. Then you remove the test antenna and place the standard-gain antenna with its aperture at the center of location where the test antenna was located. Measure the difference in signal level between the standard and the test antennas and add to or subtract from the gain of the standard-gain antenna to obtain the absolute gain of the test antenna. Here, *absolute* means with respect to a point source with a gain of unity, by definition. The reason for using this reference rather than a dipole, for instance, is that it is more useful and convenient for system engineering. We assume that both standard and test antennas have been carefully matched to the appropriate impedance and an accurately calibrated and matched detecting device is being used.

A standard-gain antenna may be any type of unidirectional, preferably planar-aperture, antenna, which has been calibrated either by direct measurement or in special cases by accurate construction according to computed dimensions. A standard-gain antenna has been suggested by Richard F. H. Yang (see Bibliography). Shown in **Fig 63**, it consists of two in-phase dipoles $\frac{1}{2} \lambda$ apart and backed up with a ground plane 1λ square.

In Yang's original design, the stub at the center is a balun formed by cutting two longitudinal slots of $\frac{1}{8}$ -inch width, diametrically opposite, on a $\frac{1}{4}\lambda$ section of $\frac{7}{8}$ -inch rigid 50- Ω coax. An alternative method of feeding is to feed RG-8 or RG-213 coax through slotted $\frac{7}{8}$ -inch copper tubing. Be sure to leave the outer jacket on the coax to insulate it from the copper-tubing balun section. When constructed accurately to scale for the frequency of interest, this type of standard will have an absolute gain of 9.85 dBi (7.7 dBd gain over a dipole in free space) with an accuracy of ± 0.25 dB.

RADIATION-PATTERN MEASUREMENTS

Of all antenna measurements, the radiation pattern is the most demanding in measurement and the most difficult to interpret. Any antenna radiates to some degree in all directions into the space surrounding it. Therefore, the radiation pattern of an antenna is a three-dimensional representation of the magnitude, phase and polarization. In general, and in practical cases for Amateur Radio communications, the polarization is well defined and only the magnitude of radiation is important.

Furthermore, in many of these cases the radiation in one particular plane is of primary interest, usually the plane corresponding to that of the Earth's surface, regardless of polarization. Because of the nature of the range setup, measurement of radiation pattern can be successfully made only in a plane nearly parallel to the earth's surface. With beam antennas it is advisable and usually sufficient to take two radiation pattern measurements, one in the polarization plane and one at right angles to the plane of polarization. These radiation patterns are referred

to in antenna literature as the principal E-plane and H-plane patterns, respectively. *E-plane* means parallel to the electric field that is the polarization plane and *H-plane* means parallel to the magnetic field in free space. The electric field and magnetic field are always perpendicular to each other in a plane wave as it propagates through space.

When the antenna is located over real earth, the terms *Azimuth* and *elevation* planes are commonly used, since the frame of reference is the Earth itself, rather than the electric and magnetic fields in free space. For a horizontally polarized antenna such as a Yagi mounted with its elements parallel to the ground, the azimuth plane is the E-plane and the elevation plane is the H-plane.

The technique to obtain these patterns is simple in procedure but requires more equipment and patience than does making a gain measurement. First, a suitable mount is required that can be rotated in the azimuth plane (horizontal) with some degree of accuracy in terms of azimuth-angle positioning. Second, a signal-level indicator calibrated over at least a 20-dB dynamic range with a readout resolution of at least 2 dB is required. A dynamic range of up to about 40 dB would be desirable but does not add greatly to the measurement significance.

With this much equipment, the procedure is to locate first the area of maximum radiation from the beam antenna by carefully adjusting the azimuth and elevation positioning. These settings are then arbitrarily assigned an azimuth angle of zero degrees and a signal level of zero decibels. Next, without changing the elevation setting (tilt of the rotating axis), the antenna is carefully rotated in azimuth in small steps that permit signal-level readout of 2 or 3 dB per step. These points of signal level corresponding with an azimuth angle are recorded and plotted on polar coordinate paper. A sample of the results is shown on ARRL coordinate paper in **Fig 64**.

On the sample radiation pattern the measured points are marked with an X and a continuous line is drawn in, since the pattern is a continuous curve. Radiation patterns should preferably be plotted on a logarithmic radial scale, rather than a voltage or power scale. The reason is that the log scale approximates the response of the ear to signals in the audio range. Also many receivers have AGC systems that are somewhat logarithmic in response; therefore the log scale is more representative of actual system operation.

Having completed a set of radiation-pattern measurements, one is prompted to ask, "Of what use are they?" The primary answer is as a diagnostic tool to determine if the antenna is functioning as it was intended to. A second answer is to know how the antenna will discriminate against interfering signals from various directions.

Consider now the diagnostic use of the radiation patterns. If the radiation beam is well defined, then there is an approximate formula relating the antenna gain to the measured half-power beamwidth of the E- and H-plane




Fig 64—Sample plot of a measured radiation pattern, using techniques described in the text. The plot is on coordinate paper available from ARRL HQ. The form provides space for recording significant data and remarks.

radiation patterns. The half-power beamwidth is indicated on the polar plot where the radiation level falls to 3 dB below the main beam 0-dB reference on either side. The formula is

$$\text{Gain (dBi)} \cong \frac{41,253}{\theta_E \phi_H} \quad (\text{Eq 29})$$

where θ_E and ϕ_H are the half-power beamwidths in degrees of the E- and H-plane patterns, respectively. This equation assumes a lossless antenna system, where any side lobes are well suppressed.

To illustrate the use of this equation, assume that we have a Yagi antenna with a boom length of two wavelengths. From known relations (described in Chapter 11) the expected free-space gain of a Yagi with a boom length of 2λ is about 13 dBi; its gain, G , equals 20. Using the above relationship, the product of $\theta_E \times \phi_H \approx 2062$ square

degrees. Since a Yagi produces a nearly symmetric beam shape in cross section, $\theta_E = \phi_H = 45^\circ$. Now if the measured values of θ_E and ϕ_H are much larger than 45° , then the gain will be much lower than the expected 13 dBi.

As another example, suppose that the same antenna (a 2-wavelength-boom Yagi) gives a measured gain of 9 dBi but the radiation pattern half power beamwidths are approximately 45° . This situation indicates that although the radiation patterns seem to be correct, the low gain shows inefficiency somewhere in the antenna, such as lossy materials or poor connections.

Large broadside collinear antennas can be checked for excessive phasing-line losses by comparing the gain computed from the radiation patterns with the direct-measured gain. It seems paradoxical, but it is indeed possible to build a large array with a very narrow beamwidth indicating high gain, but actually having very low gain because of losses in the feed distribution system.

In general, and for most VHF/UHF Amateur Radio communications, gain is the primary attribute of an antenna. However, radiation in other directions than the main beam, called *sidelobe radiation*, should be examined by measurement of radiation patterns for effects such as nonsymmetry on either side of the main beam or excessive magnitude of sidelobes. (Any sidelobe that is less than 10 dB below the main beam reference level of 0 dB should be considered excessive.) These effects are usually attributable to incorrect phasing of the radiating elements or radiation from other parts of the antenna that was not intended, such as the support structure or feed line.

The interpretation of radiation patterns is intimately related to the particular type of antenna under measurement. Reference data should be consulted for the antenna type of interest, to verify that the measured results are in agreement with expected results.

To summarize the use of pattern measurements, if a beam antenna is first checked for gain (the easier measurement to make) and it is as expected, then pattern measurements may be academic. However, if the gain is lower than expected it is advisable to make pattern measurements to help determine the possible causes for low gain.

Regarding radiation pattern measurements, remember that the results measured under proper range facilities will not necessarily be the same as observed for the same antenna at a home-station installation. The reasons may be obvious now in view of the preceding information on the range setup, ground reflections, and the vertical-field distribution profiles. For long paths over rough terrain where many large obstacles may exist, the effects of ground reflection tend to become diffused, although they still can cause unexpected results. For these reasons it is usually unjust to compare VHF/UHF antennas over long paths.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Source material and more extended discussion of topics covered in this chapter can be found in the references given below.

- A. Bailey, "The Antenna Lab, Parts 1 and 2," *Radio Communication*, Aug and Sep 1983.
- L. Blake, *Transmission Lines and Waveguides* (New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1969), pp 244-251.
- J. H. Bowen, "A Calorimeter for VHF and UHF Power Measurements," *QST*, Dec 1975, pp 11-13.
- W. Bruene, "An Inside Picture of Directional Wattmeters," *QST*, Apr 1959.
- CCIR Recommendation 368, Documents of the CCIR XII Plenary assembly, ITU, Geneva, 1967.
- J. Carr, "Find Fault with Your Coax," 73, Oct 1984, pp 10-14.
- D. DeMaw, "In-Line RF Power Metering," *QST*, Dec 1969.
- D. Fayman, "A Simple Computing SWR Meter," *QST*, Jul 1973, pp 23-33.
- J. Gibbons and H. Horn, "A Circuit With Logarithmic Response Over Nine Decades," *IEEE Transactions on Circuit Theory*, Vol CT-11, No. 3, Sep 1964, pp 378-384.
- J. Grebenkemper, "Calibrating Diode Detectors," *QEX*, Aug 1990.
- J. Grebenkemper, "The Tandem Match—An Accurate Directional Wattmeter," *QST*, Jan 1987.
- J. Grebenkemper, "Improving and Using R-X Noise Bridges," *QST*, Aug 1989; Feedback, *QST*, Jan 1990, p 27.
- G. H. Hagn, SRI, "HF Ground Measurements at the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) Field Site," *Applied Computational Electromagnetics Society Journal and Newsletter*, Vol 3, Number 2, Fall 1988.
- T. King, "A Practical Time-Domain Reflectometer," *QST*, May 1989, pp 22-24.
- Z. Lau and C. Hutchinson, "Improving the HW-9 Transceiver," *QST*, Apr 1988, pp 26-29.
- V. G. Leenerts, "Automatic VSWR and Power Meter," *Ham Radio*, May 1980, pp 34-43.
- J. Lenk, *Handbook of Oscilloscopes* (Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall, 1982), pp 288-292.
- I. Lindell, E. Alanen, K. Mannerslo, "Exact Image Method for Impedance Computation of Antennas Above the Ground," *IEEE Trans. On Antennas and Propagation*, AP-33, Sep 1985.
- L. McCoy, "A Linear Field-Strength Meter," *QST*, Jan 1973.
- T. McMullen, "The Line Sampler, an RF Power Monitor for VHF and UHF," *QST*, Apr 1972.
- M. Walter Maxwell, W2DU, *Reflections* (Newington: ARRL, 1990), p 20-3. [Out of print.]
- C. Michaels, "Determining Line Lengths," Technical Correspondence, *QST*, Sep 1985, pp 43-44.
- H. Perras, "Broadband Power-Tracking VSWR Bridge," *Ham Radio*, Aug 1979, pp 72-75.
- S. Ramo, J. Whinnery and T. Van Duzer, *Fields and Waves in Communication Electronics* (New York: John Wiley & Sons, 1967), Chap 1.
- Reference Data for Radio Engineers*, 5th edition (Indianapolis: Howard W. Sams, 1968), Chapter 28.
- P. N. Saveskie, *Radio Propagation Handbook* (Blue Ridge Summit, PA: TAB Books, 1960).
- J. Seveck, "Short Ground-Radial Systems for Short Verticals," *QST*, Apr 1978, pp 30-33.
- J. Seveck, "Measuring Soil Conductivity," *QST*, Mar 1981, pp 38-39.
- W. Spaulding, "A Broadband Two-Port S-Parameter Test Set," *Hewlett-Packard Journal*, Nov 1984.
- D. Turrin, "Antenna Performance Measurements," *QST*, Nov 1974, pp 35-41.
- F. Van Zant, "High-Power Operation with the Tandem Match Directional Coupler," Technical Correspondence, *QST*, Jul 1989, pp 42-43.
- R. F. H. Yang, "A Proposed Gain Standard for VHF Antennas," *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, Nov 1966.